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9. Proposed 2011 IA, TCC and CAC Meeting Schedules Shelonda Meeks
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12. Cascade Park Update Gary Phillips
13. Capital Cascade Trail - Segments 3 and 4 Design Update Gary Phillips
14. FY 2009 Performance Evaluation Phil Maher

VI. PUBLIC HEARING – 5:30 pm

15. Proposed FY 2011 Blueprint Operating Budget Phil Maher
16. Revised Master Plan and Proposed FY 2011-FY 2015 Blueprint Capital Budget Phil Maher
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VIII. ITEMS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

IX. ADJOURN
#1.

CAC Meeting Minutes
(June 3, 2010)
Lamar Taylor, Chair, called the Citizens Advisory Committee meeting to order at 4:35 pm.

**Committee Members present:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lamar Taylor</th>
<th>Burt Davy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kevin McGorty</td>
<td>Ron Pease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windell Page</td>
<td>Tom O’Steen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Hogge</td>
<td>Erin Ennis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Guests/Presenters/Staff:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jim Davis</th>
<th>Angela Richardson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phil Maher</td>
<td>Claire Forbes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Bright</td>
<td>Ryan Wetherell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Snyder</td>
<td>Alicia Wetherell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latesa Turner</td>
<td>Paco de la Fuente</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Youmans</td>
<td>Kelly Layman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Phillips</td>
<td>Frank Terrafirma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Ringe</td>
<td>Eric Rosenstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margie Quillman</td>
<td>Luciano Ramos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agenda Modifications**

Due to some members needing to leave early and the potential loss of a quorum, agenda items were taken in the following order: 9, 10, 3, 6, 4, 5, 7, 8, 1, and 2. Luciano Ramos was also introduced as a potential new CAC member representing the Education Community.

**Public Hearings**

**Item #9: Proposed FY 2011 Blueprint 2000 Operating Budget**

Phil Maher stated that the item was for CAC review and comment prior to the IA’s review at the June 21, 2010 meeting. The Budget would not be voted on until the September 20, 2010 IA meeting. Mr. Maher explained the personnel changes at Blueprint over the past year and how that affected the Operating Budget as we know had one County employee. He noted that the City of Tallahassee determined the cost of the fringe benefits and that those could change once they adopted their FY 2011 budget.

Windell Page questioned why Blueprint had a “temporary attorney.” Mr. Maher explained that it referred to the portion of the Attorney’s time that could not be charged directly to a project. Jim Davis clarified that the Attorney in question was full time, a member of the bar, graduated two years earlier and that he assisted Blueprint’s Legal Counsel.
Mr. Maher noted that the Rental of Office Space line item would most likely be modified as Blueprint would be moving in December 2010. Based on the market, the preliminary indications were that the new lease would come in lower than the current rate. The likely location will be the 2nd floor of the SunTrust Bank building on Apalachee Parkway as it most closely fit Blueprint’s needs.

Stephen Hogge questioned who handled Blueprint’s liability insurance and how the firm was selected. Mr. Maher stated that Blueprint was insured by Brown and Brown (the same as the City and County). The City’s Treasurer-Clerk would not allow Blueprint to piggy-back onto their policy at the recommendation of their Risk Manager.

Regarding Capital Outlay and computer equipment, Erin Ennis questioned if they had not been replaced in three years, if it might be prudent to include them in the budget. Mr. Maher explained that City policy stated that costs less than $1,000 were not a Capital Outlay expense. There were allocations in other areas of the operating budget for the replacement of computer equipment. Additionally, there was $18,000 allocated in the Moving Expense item to upgrade the servers, which were five-years old.

Erin Ennis stated that in previous years, there was a significant fund balance carried forward to spend, however, she did not see that for 2011. It seemed to her that if there was not money to spend Blueprint could reduce staff. Mr. Maher explained that the $48M was the fund balance. The sales tax revenue came into Blueprint Operating funds; it was not transferred out to the projects until the funds were needed for expenditure. Whatever was not transferred was carried forward to the following year. It was not reflected as carried forward in FY 2011 because the current fiscal year had not been completed. Ms. Ennis stated she would have assumed that there would be an estimate of what would be carried forward however.

Mr. Maher stated that of the $27M in sales tax proceeds $1.9M would go to Operating expenses, $6.3M would be available to be transferred to Capital Projects, $14.9M would go to Debt Service as well as $4.5M for the SIB loan. The $48M indicated in the FY 2010 fund balance was available to be transferred to projects. Mr. Maher doubted much, if any would be transferred because Blueprint had $93M available in cash from bond proceeds, sales tax collections, and debt service fund. He did not anticipate needing to transfer any of the $48M to Capital projects and estimated the full amount would be carried forward to FY 2011. The $48M in the fund balance had been appropriated in the Capital Budget; but the funds had not been transferred to the project fund.

Ms. Ennis also expressed confusion regarding salary enhancements. Mr. Davis explained that Blueprint was a separate governmental agency that was neither City nor County. All full-time employees had the option to select to be on the City’s payroll system with their benefits or the County’s with theirs; only as a carrier organization. Technically speaking no one was a City or County employee, they were only on the respective payroll system. Those Agencies were carrier organizations only because of economies of scale.
In the past Blueprint had included a 5% pay raise in the draft budget. However, Blueprint’s budget cycle was significantly ahead of the City or County. Subsequently, if the Blueprint Board approved 5% raises for Blueprint employees but, by the time the City and County worked their way through their budget process, approved a 3.5% for their own employees, it put the City Manager or County Administrator in a situation where they had approved more for Blueprint than for their own employees. The way Blueprint has resolved that, in conjunction with the City Manager and County Administrator, was with the language of “the higher of the City or the County raises.”

Furthermore, as the Executive Director, Mr. Davis felt it would be inconsistent and did not support the fact that one employee could get a 2% raise and another could get 5% simply because one happened to be on the City system and the other was on the County system. Blueprint, he stated, was one organization; not the City nor the County but a wholly separate entity. He felt very strongly that any kind of pay raises should be consistent among all employees because they were all doing the same work. If Blueprint was successful it was because of the work that was done by all of the employees and should be rewarded accordingly. Additionally, Mr. Maher noted, all Blueprint employees served at the pleasure of the Board which furthered the distinction.

Ms. Ennis questioned if the CAC was expected to vote on the proposed Operating Budget. Mr. Davis stated that Blueprint presented a preliminary budget to the CAC and IA in June for their comment and discussion. Based upon their guidance Blueprint would make the necessary adjustment and return it for the final public hearing and approval in September. It was presented to the CAC for their review and comment prior to it going to the IA.

Mr. Maher stated that the funds in the Capital Budget also included bond proceeds dollars. Due to arbitrage, Blueprint needed to spend those before the $48M in the fund balance from the Operating Budget. For projects that would be reimbursed from another entity, Mr. Maher transferred money from the fund balance to the Capital Budget. If bond proceeds were to be used the reimbursement would, in essence, become bond funds again continuing to be subject the arbitrage.

Burt Davy questioned if there was an outside audit. Mr. Maher confirmed that there was and that a copy was provided to the CAC annually. Blueprint had always received an audit with no qualifications. Erin Ennis questioned how many years the same firm was used. Mr. Maher stated that Blueprint piggy-backed onto the City; the firms changed every three years. Currently the auditor was Carl Riggs and before that it was Law Redd. There was also an annual Performance Audit; FSU was the current auditor, and that a copy would be furnished to the CAC as well. Mr. Davis stated that those were requirements of the Interlocal Agreement.

Stephen Hogge moved approval by CAC; Windell Paige seconded the motion. It passed 7-0; Erin Ennis abstained from the vote.

**Item #10: Revised Master Plan and Proposed FY 2011 – FY 2015 Blueprint Capital Budget**

Phil Maher stated that Blueprint developed a long range plan, the Master Plan, which extended to
2019; most Capital Programs only extend five years. From that he developed a five year capital budget; of which only FY 2011 would be appropriated. The remainder was estimated. The Master Plan was what the Board would vote to approve. That would, in turn, be extracted into the capital budget. The Master Plan was based on growth rates for future sales tax and estimated expenditures; all of which was approved by the Finance Committee.

The Blueprint Finance Committee recommended that Blueprint make no changes to the growth rates. Sales tax revenue had continued to decline, however there was a two month delay to sales tax reports. March 2010 collections, which were being reported in May 2010, were the first month to reflect an increase over the prior year. March 2010 showed a 5% increase over March 2009.

Mr. Maher further stated that there were increases to the Master Plan which came from sources other than sales tax revenue. The Board had previously approved the following $11.7M increases:

- $9 million in additional ARRA funds for Capital Circle Southeast Woodville Highway to Crawfordville Road.
- $2,121,919 in additional grants and donations for amenities in Cascade Park.
- $425,000 in DOT funds not previously budgeted for the design and post design services of Capital Circle Northwest/Southwest.
- $150,000 in FDOT funds for the design of a pedestrian bridge over South Monroe Street connecting Cascade Park and Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail.
- A reduction of $1,357,829 due to a change in the County’s water quality drawdown schedule.

A minor change was in the drawdown schedule on the Water Quality funds for the City and County. Each entity was allocated and would receive $25M as Blueprint collected sales tax revenue. They had the option to draw down funds early, however, there was an interest rate that was used as a discount factor, which reduced the amount they would be able to claim. Over the past year, they drew down less than anticipated thereby giving them more money, an additional $21K, in the future. The County would be changing their drawdown schedule to implement Water Quality projects earlier than originally planned. That would free up dollars in the future.

Erin Ennis requested clarification on how the Capital Budget tied into the Master Plan. Mr. Maher stated that Blueprint appropriated the full amount necessary for a project, beginning with the design process, for the project in year one. With a multi-year construction contract, they request appropriation of all the necessary dollars that year. With Program Management, those dollars are requested the year Blueprint plans to use them; and the same with Right-of-Way.

Ms. Ennis questioned the difference between Water Quality dollars listed in the approved versus proposed Master Plans. Mr. Maher stated that in prior years, the City appropriated $8.6M but had not spent all of it. If all of the years, from 2005-2011, were combined you would be short $713,255K of what was necessary for the $1.5M reflected in the Master Plan.
Ms. Ennis stated it was not money that had been spent, but appropriated. Mr. Maher confirmed that to be accurate. Ms. Ennis stated that it should be labeled correctly then, as it was not a budget. Lamar Taylor stated that from the State’s perspective, that was how one budgeted and appropriated for capital items. One could not spend what had not been appropriated and they were appropriating the budget. Mr. Taylor clarified that from a business perspective a budget was planned expenditures. However, from a government standpoint, a budget was legal authorization to spend money, and is not only planned expenditures. If it was not approved and appropriated in a budget, one did not have the legal authority to spend the money in the future. Ms. Ennis stated that it should be labeled “Prior Authorization” and “Funds Actually Spent” so that she would know what she was reviewing.

If approved, at the end of the year, Ms. Ennis questioned, what would have been spent in aggregate total versus what had been appropriated. Mr. Maher answered her by explaining that the $435K requested for appropriation for Program Management for N2 was the amount Blueprint anticipated needing. If however, they spent only $300K there would be a balance of $135K in appropriation that could be carried forward. They would only need to appropriate the difference between $135K and the estimate total for the following year because of the unexpended appropriations from that year. Government appropriation for capital projects must be certified forward but they did not expire, stated Mr. Taylor.

Ms. Ennis stated that she was not convinced and would not vote for something that she did not understand. She would be abstaining from the vote.

Jim Davis reiterated that Blueprint was not requesting the CAC vote on the budget but to provide comments. Ms. Ennis stated that her comment would be that the budgets needed to be put forward in a process that tied and was clearly understood to the advisory committee. Mr. Davis asked if she would be willing to help staff craft the format that would do that. Potentially, she responded. Mr. Davis stated that her comment would be incorporated.

Mr. Taylor remarked that the format was not intuitive, however, it was a function of governmental accounting and those processes. Either one takes it on faith that it is correct or they spend hour upon hour with someone explaining it to you. Mr. Maher stated that was probably why the committee typically spent their time focused on the Master Plan because that was where the Capital Budget was derived from. Historically, the Board and committees have “voted on” the Master Plan; agreeing annually that they wanted a particular project completed in a specified period of time for the price reflected. It was left at face value that through the City and the Auditors, the Capital Budget would implement the Master Plan as approved.

Ron Pease stated that one of the key words for this was “confusing”. Had previous years been as confusing? Also, was there full understanding, comprehension, and support at the Board level? Mr. Davis stated that he could not attest to confusion but he could attest to support. It had never before presented a problem, yet some of the greatest discussions held by the CAC were in regard to the Master Plan and establishing priorities for the projects. Generally speaking, when Budgets were presented to the IA at the June meeting, staff would convey to the Board that the Operating and Capital Budgets were presented for their consideration only. Inevitably someone would
move to approve them.

Mr. Maher reiterated that in the past, there had been less focus placed on the budget details than on the general Master Plan and the timing of projects. He presumed that the Board trusted the accountants in the City to implement that part of it. Tom O’Steen stated that was an appropriate description of what prior CAC members had spent more time concentrating on. At the beginning of the Blueprint 2000 enterprise there was a projected stream of sales tax that would generate a certain amount of money. Their concentration, as EECC members, was to ensure that the program was funded appropriately in a broad term based on that revenue stream, and that specific projects were prioritized in concert with what the EECC had outlined. Over time, they had watched different City or County governments try to grab a bit here or there, or to move money around that, as the CAC and as EECC members, they did not always necessarily agree with. Not wanting to speak for others, Mr. O’Steen stated his focus concentrated on programs and money being moved around as opposed to complete fluid understanding of the document itself. They relied on their trust in Mr. Maher, the Finance Committee, and the positive annual, independent audits. If, however, there was something that was easier to understand that would be great too.

Kevin McGorty stated that Ms. Ennis’ comments were on target, and there could be improvements in terms of identification. However, the members of the EECC were quite familiar with the line items. The Master Plan had been their score card. They recognized early on that projects slated for the out years were the most susceptible to budget cuts and that certainly had happened. Those were policy issues that they commented on. However, they did not micro-manage the staff with their operational budget. They felt there was tremendous oversight by the City, County, and the IA on issues of salary, benefits, etc. He noted that they had relied heavily on the audits because of their financial commitments to the citizens. In terms of labeling perspective though, he felt Ms. Ennis had valid suggestions.

Luciano Ramos stated that it seemed that it was a language issue that could be easily corrected with the addition of a column to the capital budget spreadsheet, that listed “ Appropriated Funds in Prior Years, Amount Actually Spent, and Amount Needed for…” Mr. Maher stated that he could easily incorporate the suggestions.

At this time, the advertised Budget Public Hearing was begun and citizens were able to make comments on the Operating and Capital Budget Agenda Items.

Kelly Layman, private citizen residing on Cadiz Street in the Park Avenue Historic District one block over (parallel) from Franklin Boulevard, stated that she wished to clarify what was intended in the recent Tallahassee Democrat article in which she was quoted. She understood that, while she was living out of town, a very vocal minority were successful in their efforts to reprioritize the segments of Capital Cascade Trail. All she asked and intended in the article was to find a way to address flooding on Franklin Boulevard in the Blueprint program. She has since learned, thanks to phone calls and discussions with staff, that there was a way. In reading the agenda items she understood the interim solutions. Her only consideration as it moved forward was the $1.4M that was needed to complete the Franklin Boulevard improvements and to make it
Continuous from Cascade Park to East Tennessee Street. It was a public safety issue that was long overdue. She had no opinion on box culverts; she would leave that to the technical experts and engineering staff. She encouraged staff to complete the list that voters approved and she strongly believed that they would. She stated that there was support from residents of that area and felt they would be at the June 21, 2010 IA meeting.

Kevin McGorty moved approval of the Master Plan and Capital Budget; Tom O'Steen seconded the motion. It passed 7-0; Erin Ennis abstained from the vote.

**Consent Items**

**Item #3: CAC Minutes: February 11, 2010 and April 8, 2010**

Kevin McGorty clarified that in the April 8, 2010 minutes in the discussion regarding Smokey Hollow Village, he felt that the CAC reached consensus of a timetable of not keeping that critical, open space vacant. He felt there was clear direction that not a fixed but some kind of timetable would be established. It was noted and would be checked. Otherwise, they were very good. **Mr. McGorty moved approval of the February 11 and April 8 minutes; it was seconded by Erin Ennis. It passed 8-0.**

**Presentations/Discussion**

**Item #6: Capital Cascade Trail – Segment 1 – Authorization to Negotiate a Supplemental Agreement to Design Segment 1 Flood Relief Project and to Amend the Master Plan to Incorporate any Additional Funding Received**

Gary Phillips stated that staff was requesting the IA to authorize Blueprint to contract with Genesis Group, the existing Park design consultant, to design the flood relief improvement project on Franklin Boulevard. The $1.7M was money that came available through the City/County from flood relief money related to Tropical Storm Fay. For an additional $1.4M Blueprint could extend the box culvert to East Tennessee Street and close off the ditch completely. For an additional $350K Blueprint could improve the conveyance under East Tennessee Street to improve the flooding at Leon High School.

Mr. Davis stated that it was much cheaper than the original plan because it would be in the same location as where the ditch was currently. The impacts to traffic would be minimal most likely closing only the inside lanes during construction. The project did not include any roadway improvements and the current street crossings would all remain in place. The total cost for the project was $3.1M (from the Park to East Tennessee Street); Blueprint had $1.7M and needed $1.4M more to extend the project to East Tennessee Street. Blueprint’s position had been to do whatever could be done for the money available as compared to doing nothing.

Stephen Hogge questioned if the approval of the Capital Budget would preclude completing Franklin Boulevard. Mr. Maher stated that the agenda item would appropriate the grant ($1.7M) but there was an additional $1.4M needed to complete the project. The City was looking at potentially funding that portion. If they were successful in identifying funding, Mr. Maher stated that it would be a “done deal.” If however, they were unsuccessful, there was not money in the
Master Plan to make up the difference. If the IA chose to complete Franklin, another project would have to be reduced by $1.4M because the Master Plan was balanced. The Capital Budget would have to be amended as well.

Jim Davis stated that not only would it require amending the Capital Budget but it would require considerable work as well because of work that had been previously completed and priorities that had been established by the Board. The $1.7M was new money; not part of the sales tax revenue. Furthermore, the agenda item requested permission to include any additional money, found money, to the Capital Budget to complete Franklin.

Kevin McGorty stated that he was pleased that Franklin Boulevard was back in the game. He was also sympathetic to Ms. Layman’s points. He felt there was traction and leveraging opportunities available. They might not occur within the current budget cycle, however, there was support. He did not feel that it was appropriate at this time to begin raiding other projects to fund Franklin. Mr. Maher agreed.

Ron Pease questioned if there was any indication as to what the City was moving on. Mr. Davis stated that Jay Townsend, Assistant City Manager, was working to get it funded from City Stormwater. Mr. McGorty stated that it was a foot hold. Furthermore, he felt that with the momentum of the opening of the park, the emphasis of Franklin Boulevard as a gateway would be critical. That segment could not be degraded and not functioning. He felt there would be stimulus to move it forward.

Kevin McGorty moved approval of Option 1; it was seconded by Tom O’Steen.

A. Authorize the Director to negotiate a Supplemental Agreement with Genesis Group for an amount not to exceed $330,000 which includes a 10% contingency, to model the St. Augustine Branch (SAB) from CCT Segment 2 to LHS parking lot and to design the Segment 1 Flood Relief Improvement Project from the Segment 2 (Pensacola Street) Northern Terminus to north of Park Avenue.

B. Authorize the Director, if additional funding becomes available, to negotiate a Supplemental Agreement with Genesis Group for an amount not to exceed $350,000 which includes a 10% contingency to design the remaining section of Franklin Boulevard from north of Park Avenue to Tennessee Street, or to the LHS parking lot.

C. In addition to the FEMA funding, provide authorization to amend the Master Plan and incorporate into the Capital Budget any additional funding which may be identified and received for the Segment 1 Flood Relief Project.

Stephen Hogge asked what the historical thinking was on the box culvert versus the open ditch. Mr. McGorty stated that there was a healthy debate on whether it was a natural water course versus one that had been severely altered and was mainly a stormwater facility. There was conflict regarding how wide the road should be, but in the end the money simply was not available. It was decided to table Segment 1 because consensus could not be reached, and move on to other segments of the project. While the $22M was not available for the full reconstruction of the roadway, many people, he felt, were pleased that it was back on the table.
Erin Ennis questioned if there was concern if the protestors would return. Mr. Davis stated that it was concern not over if they would but when they would arrive. Many people felt that the situation was a very different system from the St. Augustine Branch that existed in the 19th century. They were trying to deal realistically with what existed presently, as well as being aware of the potential for the revitalization and pedestrian safety that was critically needed on Franklin Boulevard.

Mr. Davis stated that the original concept by the EECC, what Blueprint was based on, was for a box culvert along Franklin Boulevard. It was always the design. Mr. Hogge interjected that it was on the basis that it had been manipulated… Mr. McGorty stated that the box culvert was recommended on the basis of public safety and better utilization as a greenway and urban design.

Mr. Hogge questioned the cost consideration of restoration versus a box culvert. Mr. Bright stated that the ditch, currently, was quite wide. By installing the box culvert, Blueprint could move the travel lanes closer and put sidewalks to the outside. There was virtually no ROW available outside the current limits. Having to purchase ROW on Franklin Boulevard would have caused the cost to skyrocket; if ROW was even available without taking houses. Mr. Hogge stated he was looking for a number, a price tag comparison of the options. Mr. McGorty stated that there had been various options, each with its own cost, over the years.

Mr. Davis pointed out for Mr. Hogge that when he spoke of restoration he needed to remember that one of the sources for the St. Augustine Branch was Lake Leon which was now a baseball field. How could that be restored? To put four-lanes of traffic, a sidewalk, bike lanes, etc required a set number of feet of ROW; there was no funding for ROW on Segment 1. One way to minimize that and capitalize on the space available was to install a box culvert. It was a trade off, but one could imagine what ROW would cost in that area to expand the ROW, to include the amenities, to keep the ditch open, and make the necessary improvements to accommodate the rate of flow.

Furthermore, Mr. Davis stated, that water quality improvements were a big part of the St. Augustine Branch. It was determined that the water quality aspects of Capital Cascade Trail would occur in Segment 4, where many of the ponds would be constructed. Normally, in stormwater projects one worked upstream to accommodate the improvements made at the top. That it was initially named Segment 1 – 4, north to south; if it had been named 1-4 south to north it would not have had the same connotation. One of the significant factors in the sequencing of Segment 1 last, however, was the very vocal minority group.

Tom O’Steen asked if there were concept graphics to illustrate what Franklin Boulevard could look like with the proposed interim solution. Mr. Davis stated that it would be a grassy median along the funded portion that was placed in the box culvert. The unfunded portion would remain the way it currently was. Mr. O’Steen questioned if there was a portion that could be used as a bike or pedestrian trail within the median. Mr. Bright stated that there would be multiple conflicts at each median opening as would be in the middle of the road. There would be no sidewalks. Mr. Phillips stated that the money was specifically for flood relief. He did not know
if it would be eligible for use for sidewalks or trails. Funding for that would need to come from another source.

Frank Terrafirma, private citizen residing at 641 E College Avenue and who also owned 328 S Franklin Boulevard, stated that he was on the Board of Directors for Lafayette Condominiums. The Association was fully aware of the potential additional funding for flood relief on Franklin Boulevard. They were very enthusiastic about the possibility to fund all of Franklin and that would be ideal, but segments would certainly help. It was not the original plan, but it was forward progress. He hoped that the CAC and IA would support the box culvert for safety reasons as well as add the bike lanes, trails, and sidewalks when additional funding became available.

Eric Rosenstein of Post Buckley stated that Franklin would potentially look similar to Blair Stone Road near Capital Circle NE near Goose Pond. There was a box culvert located there.

Kelly Layman stated that she would like to help because people were asking her what they could do. Mr. Davis requested that she attend the IA meeting on June 21, 2010 and to bring those folks with her. Of equal importance was to email their sentiment and support to the elected officials of the City and County.

The motion passed unanimously.

**Item #4: CAC Appointments**

Dave Bright briefly discussed some of the historical issues with several vacancies for certain CAC positions. Following the last IA meeting, revisions were made to the CAC bylaws which opened up the potential of getting different agencies to appoint members to those positions. The recommendation representing the Disabled Community was David Jones from the Florida Disabled Outdoors Association. Originally, that was not an agency that had authority to appoint a nominee. Mr. Bright had been in contact with Ability 1st, the agency that was originally mentioned, and Judith Barrett indicated support for Mr. Jones for the position on the CAC.

The second position, a representative of the Education Community, was proposed to be filled by Luciano Ramos. Mr. Ramos was nominated by FAMU and was employed by the Florida Campus Compact. The third nominee was Lamar Taylor. Lamar’s position does not expire until November 2010, however, since the agenda item was going before the IA, Mr. Bright had him re-approved by the EECC. Blueprint was still waiting for a replacement nominee for the Environmental Biologist position by the EECC.

Kevin McGorty moved approval and welcomed Mr. Ramos (who was in attendance); it was seconded by Ron Pease. It passed unanimously.

**Item #5: Cascade Park Update**

Gary Phillips stated that Blueprint held a very successful ground breaking ceremony since the April CAC meeting, with approximately 200 people in attendance. Blueprint had signed the contract with Sandco, and had all the permits necessary to begin construction.
Construction Conference and Partnering meetings had been schedule for later in June. The Notice to Proceed would be issued June 25, 2010 with the contractor “turning dirt” on July 5, 2010.

Windell Page questioned if there was something the CAC could do to assist to re-secure the donation for Centennial Field. Mr. Davis stated that the potential donor was in the banking field, and they did not feel that with new regulations and the overall environment of the financial community that it was the appropriate time for them to move forward. They have requested Blueprint come back to them in one year.

**Item #7: Capital Cascade Trail – Segment 3 and 4 Design Update: Request Approval to Initiate the Design Phase for Segment 3 from South Adams Street to Gamble Street**

Gary Phillips stated that because of the decrease in sales tax receipts, funding was not available to design Segment 3 and Segment 4 together. Earlier, the IA requested that Blueprint design items with the “biggest bang for the buck” in terms of water quality and flood relief improvements. The three that were decided on were ditch improvements between Monroe and Adams Streets (which was determined to be a box culvert), Coal Chute Pond, and Pond 5 (in Segment 4 near Black Swamp). At this time, staff was requesting the IA approve the design of Segment 3, Adams to Gamble Streets. Blueprint was coordinating with the City on the design of Segment 3 as it related to the FAMU Way extension. The City was also doing a ditch restoration and stabilization project south of Coal Chute Pond. Mr. Phillips briefly discussed the coordination efforts and concept options for Segment 3.

Mr. Davis stated that the residents, speaking at FAMU Way extension public meetings, have expressed that they like the brook and trail concept minus the brook. They would rather have a greenway and potential park-like amenities. They are very concerned about maintenance of the brook itself, the cost to construct it, and litter etc., that could accumulate in it. Blueprint and the City agreed, and the City intended to recommend that they retain the 175-feet of ROW without the creation of the brook itself in that area; focusing instead on park-like amenities that the residents were keen on. Staff was prepared to recommend that to the IA since it was cheaper to construct, much cheaper to maintain, and was in keeping with what the residents wanted.

Kevin McGorty requested clarification on who represented who as it was not a dense residential area. Mr. Davis stated that there were three public meetings held by the City, with an approximate total of 450 people in attendance. Their reaction was gauged by surveys conducted by the City. FAMU’s preference of the two options was unclear; however, the one clear opinion was to cover the ditch. The folks who attended the meeting were strongly in favor of the trail with park-like amenities option. Mr. McGorty stated that it was a nice idea to carry the water theme as far as possible without the ditch. Mr. Davis stated that the water features would be intermittent with the trail, ponds, and brook features.

Luciano Ramos stated that he had some concerns if FAMU had not been consulted simply because their student population made up the majority of residents in that area. He requested if the item could be tabled until he had the opportunity to meet with planners and officials from FAMU to discuss the options. Mr. Davis stated that the FAMU Way extension was a City
project and they were taking the lead on it. The City was coordinating with FAMU regarding that project.

Furthermore, Mr. Davis stated, that he understood everyone’s concerns. The agenda item requested authorization to move forward with the design phase. In that phase staff would resolve all of those issues. The time for resolution was not yet at hand. It would be brought back to the CAC and IA once the design was further along and would include conceptual drawings. On June 9, 2010 City staff would present to the City Commission the preferred route for the FAMU Way extension with approval to come at the following Commission meeting. As part of that, the City would make a non-binding recommendation to the IA on what that portion of Capital Cascade Trail would look like. Blueprint would work that through normal processes to have input and recommendation from the CAC as well.

Dave Bright and Ray Youmans clarified that the City had been in discussions with FAMU regarding the roadway project; more so than Blueprint had regarding the Trail. Mr. O'Steen requested clarification on the boundaries of the City and Blueprint portions of the projects. It sounded as though, he stated, that the City would have the opportunity to make a recommendation about the Blueprint portion of the money and land before the CAC was presented with a design. Mr. Davis clarified that the City would make no recommendation regarding the Blueprint money. They would make a non-binding recommendation of brook and trail versus park and trail. Blueprint agreed with the City regarding the amount of ROW, 175-feet.

Mr. Davis stated that Blueprint normally operated at 50,000-feet; regarding this project in present terms, they were at 100,000-feet. There were no designs. There were no details. The City had not designed the road. They had 31 months in the schedule for design alone. All of the details would be worked out and forthcoming. Concurrently, however, Blueprint was requesting the CAC and IA for the authority to begin the design of their portions; of Segment 3. As part of that there were economies of scale in being able to jointly acquire ROW. He did not know how much of the ROW would be “Blueprint’s” because he did not know how much would be needed for the trail. The City did not know how much would be needed for roadway because it had not been designed. The box culvert, however, would be placed in ROW that was currently owned, a la where the ditch was presently. It was way too soon to outline cost allocations. There were concepts only. The details would come if approval to move to design was granted.

Mr. McGorty questioned if the design concept would include both options. Mr. Davis stated that it could if that was what the CAC desired. He suspected however that Blueprint would take the results of the public meetings held by the City; and possibly hold more of their own to define what the community really wanted to have. He did not see the need to design both if there was a clear vision one way or the other. One resident, speaking for the majority of her neighbors, stated it was their neighborhood and they knew what they wanted and could use. It was not for someone who did not live there to dictate to them what should be there. Furthermore, the meetings were attended by County Commissioner Proctor and City Commissioner Ziffer. They would listen to what the community expressed at that meeting and would move accordingly.
Mr. McGorty stated that he respected that, however, the taxpayers of the whole community were funding Blueprint. They also had a stake in it. The largest stakeholder was FAMU. If they went by the philosophy of who attended meetings representing their provincial interests they would never have reached consensus to fund Blueprint 2000. The committee needed to keep in mind that they represented the larger interest of the community and ensuring that the design worked for the community as a whole as well. He was sympathetic with residents, however, the CAC needed to recognize that they had a broad responsibility to ensure that it was a world class design of a unified stormwater and park system. He would feel more comfortable with more involvement from FAMU or that both designs were included in the equation. Mr. Davis stated that, from a conceptual stand point, staff would bring both designs back to the CAC. Rest assured, too, that it would be coordinated with FAMU.

Tom O’Steen moved that Blueprint be allowed to proceed with the design of Segment 3 (staff recommendation). Kevin McGorty seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

**Item #8: Springhill Road Corridor Improvement Concept Report**

Dave Bright reviewed the reason for and the results of the Springhill Road Concept Report. Jim Davis reassured Kevin McGorty that Springhill Road was not being moved to Tier 1 nor would any Blueprint money be spent on it. Dave Bright stated that the recommended option was to accept the report, have the Board identify the 130-foot ROW, and have the CRTPA and Planning Departments move ahead with appropriate plans and actions necessary to preserve the corridor right-of-way.

Kevin McGorty moved staff recommendation:

1. Accept the Concept Report regarding future improvements to Springhill Road between Capital Circle SW and Orange Avenue.
2. Instruct CRTPA staff to identify Springhill Road as a future four-lane roadway from Capital Circle SW to Orange Avenue in the CRTPA Regional Mobility Plan currently under development, and correspondingly instruct Planning Department staff to incorporate the segment into the Right-of-Way Needs Map in the Transportation Element of the Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan.

It was seconded by Lamar Taylor; it passed unanimously.

**Information Items**

**Item #1: Leveraging Update**

This item was informational only.

**Item #2: Capital Circle Southeast: Woodville Highway to Crawfordville Road – Design/Build Project**

Mr. Davis stated that the E3 project was federally funded at $13.7M or 100% by the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act (ARRA). Blueprint solicited it as a Design/Build project and a protest had been lodged by one of the three short-listed firms. Quite candidly, Blueprint was not
sure how it would play out. There was a 50/50 chance Blueprint could lose all of the money and the project would be cancelled. The money was given to Blueprint in February 2010, and they were scheduled to receive bids on June 8, 2010 and because of the protest it could be delayed. The money had to be committed by September 2010 or there was a risk of losing it all. Mr. Davis assured the committee that no one wanted to lose that money. The problem arose in resolving the protest as quickly as possible. The various forms of resolution were being explored. It was in the City’s protest mode and FDOT and FHWA were involved. It was in the legal process and more information would follow.

Citizens To Be Heard

As noted in the minutes:
Kelly Layman spoke regarding the budget and Franklin Boulevard.
Frank Terrafirma spoke regarding Franklin Boulevard.
Eric Rosenstein provided a brief comment on the box culvert

Items From Members Of The Committee

There were none.

Adjourn

The meeting adjourned by consensus at 6:58 pm.
#2.

Capital Circle Southeast: Woodville Highway to Crawfordville Road – Design/Build Project
STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
The purpose of this agenda item is to inform the board that the team of C.W. Roberts Contracting, Inc./Greenhorne & O’Mara is the apparent winner for the design and construction of the project. This design/build project is being funded predominantly with American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) funding, with some FDOT funds.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
The design/build procurement process was assumed by the Florida Department of Transportation with a total budget of $10.5 million for design/build/construction engineering and inspection services. This amount is sufficient to construct the seven-lane roadway from west of Woodville Highway to just east of Crawfordville Road, and will also fund the Construction, Engineering and Inspection (CE&I) services. C.W. Roberts bid of $8,984,625.00 includes City of Tallahassee utility upgrades, not funded with ARRA funds.

The IA delegated the responsibility for the solicitation, selection, and award of the contract to the IMC on February 23, 2009.

As explained to the Committees in June, the project procurement by Blueprint was suspended following a protest from Sandco, Inc., and following discussions with FDOT and FHWA. FDOT re-advertised the project on July 12, 2010, and shortlisted to four design/build teams on July 26, 2010:

- M of Tallahassee, Inc./The Heimburg Group & Suncoast Design Services
- Sandco, Inc./Genesis Group
- C.W. Roberts Contracting, Inc./Greenhorne & O’Mara
- Anderson-Columbia/Melvin Engineering

FDOT District 3 held a pre-proposal conference on August 5; a question and answer session with each short-listed firm on September 1; and held the bid opening on September 8. FDOT has transferred the project back to Blueprint 2000 for contract negotiations and construction. The contract must be executed by the end of September, and construction will begin in early 2011. Construction should be complete by late 2012.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
No action required, presented as information only.

ATTACHMENT(S):
None.
#3.

Capital Circle Southwest PD&E Study Update
SUBJECT/TITLE: Capital Circle Southwest: SR 20 to Crawfordville Road – PD&E Study Update

Date: September 20, 2010
Requested By: Blueprint 2000 Staff
Contact Person: Latesa Turner
Type of Item: Information

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
The purpose of this agenda item is to advise the Board that the project’s Final Public Hearing will be scheduled for later this fall. The Board will be advised of the meeting when it is scheduled.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
West Ditch Study: Based on the action taken by the Board at the September 21, 2009, IA meeting, Blueprint staff conducted several preliminary meetings to begin gathering information regarding past work and studies completed for the area, and current activities underway.

Blueprint is currently working with Kimley-Horn to develop an initial scope and task order to begin addressing the IA’s direction regarding the connection between the West Ditch and Grassy Lake and the water quality improvements in Black Swamp.

As discussed with the Committees, there is no funding in the current Blueprint Master Plan for any future phase of the Capital Circle Southwest project, including any proposed implementation of improvements regarding the West Ditch.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
No action required, presented as information only.

ATTACHMENT(S):
None.
#4.

Capital Cascade Connector Bridge Design Consultant
**SUBJECT/TITLE:**  Capital Cascade Connector Bridge Design Consultant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Date:</strong></th>
<th>September 20, 2010</th>
<th><strong>Requested By:</strong></th>
<th>Blueprint 2000 Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Person:</strong></td>
<td>Dave Bright</td>
<td><strong>Type of Item:</strong></td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STATEMENT OF ISSUE:**
This item informs the Board that FIGG has been selected to design the Capital Cascade Connector Bridge over South Monroe Street.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:**
The proposed bridge will span South Monroe Street just south of the existing CSX railroad overpass, connecting Segment 2 of the Capital Cascade Trail (Cascade Park) with Segment 3 of the Capital Cascade Trail and the proposed improvements along FAMU Way.

The Request for Qualifications was issued on May 9, 2010, and resulted in responses from eleven (11) firms. The Evaluation Committee convened on June 23, and short-listed to five (5) firms:
- FIGG
- Finley/Touchstone
- Genesis Group
- URS (subsequently withdrew from consideration)
- DRMP

The Request for Proposals (Oral Presentations) was issued to the above firms on July 20 and a pre-proposal conference was held on August 5. Oral Presentations from each firm and evaluation committee scoring was held on Wednesday, August 25. Scoring ended with a tie between FIGG and Genesis Group. Both firms received a score of 94.5 out of 100 points (each firm received a total of 567 points.) The committee reconvened on September 2, and selected FIGG as the selected firm.

Project design ($250,000) is partially funded from a Transportation Enhancement Grant ($150,000) provided by FDOT. Transportation Enhancement funding for construction is included in the FDOT work program for FY 2014.

On March 1, 2010, the Board delegated selection and award of the design contract to the IMC.

**Working Group:** It can be expected that selection of the bridge design will generate significant interest within the community, the adjacent neighborhoods, the committees, and on the Board. It is proposed to develop a Working Group to review and comment on the proposed designs, and to
ultimately participate in selecting the bridge design concept which is recommended to the Board for approval and final design. At their August 26 meeting, the CAC recommended that Kevin McGorty (original EECC member) and Luciano Ramos (Education Community representative) serve on this Working Group. The full Working Group membership will be presented to the Board for approval when compiled.

**Options:**
None

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**
No action required, for information only.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
Final Score Sheet.
## RANKING (Tie breaker)

**SPONSORING AGENCY:** Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency  
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS No:** 0080-10-ER-RC  
**TITLE/DESCRIPTION:** Design Consulting Services for Capital Cascade Connector Bridge, Tallahassee, FL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Total Score (Low score wins)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIGG</td>
<td>1+1+1+1+2+2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis Group</td>
<td>1+1+2+2+2+2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended Firm:** FIGG

---

Committee Members:  
Dave Bright, Dan Donovan,  
Chris Muehlemann, Okenwa Okoli,  
Gary Phillips, Susan Tanski

Chairperson: Dave Bright  
(on behalf of the committee)  
Post: Sep 02, 2010 3:30PM
**SPONSORING AGENCY:**

Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS No:**

0080-10-ER-RC

**TITLE/DESCRIPTION:**

Design Consulting Services for Capital Cascade Connector Bridge, Tallahassee, FL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category Classifications</th>
<th>Bridge Concepts</th>
<th>Park and Trail Design</th>
<th>Public Participation</th>
<th>Project Manager / Team Organization</th>
<th>MBE Plan and Certification</th>
<th>Responses to Questions</th>
<th>Maximum Total Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Rating Points</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>Scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGG</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>27.17</td>
<td>94.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRMP</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>22.83</td>
<td>79.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis Group</td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>94.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finley/Touchstone</td>
<td>31.17</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>86.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Difference between FIGG and Genesis Group is due to rounding within individual cells. Both firms received an aggregate of 567 points from the six (6) reviewers.

**Recommended Firm:** See Tie Breaker

Committee Members: Dave Bright, Dan Donovan, Chris Muehlemann, Okenwa Okoli, Gary Phillips, Susan Tansi

Chairperson: Dave Bright  
(date)  
(on behalf of the committee)

Posted: Sep 02, 2010, 3:30 PM
#5.

Capital Cascade Trail - Segment 1 Update
(Franklin Boulevard)
SUBJECT/TITLE: Capital Cascade Trail – Segment 1 Update (Franklin Boulevard)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>September 20, 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requested By:</td>
<td>Blueprint 2000 Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person:</td>
<td>Gary Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Item:</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STATEMENT OF ISSUE:**
The purpose of this agenda item is to update the Board on the status of proposed box culvert improvements within the ditch along Franklin Boulevard.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:**
The Board has been advised of U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funding managed by the Department of Community Affairs (DCA), provided to Blueprint for box culvert installation within the Franklin Boulevard median (from Pensacola Street to north of Park Avenue), and the extension of those improvements north to East Tennessee Street, if additional funding becomes available.

In June, the IA authorized Blueprint to negotiate a supplemental agreement with Genesis Group to conduct stormwater modeling of the St. Augustine Branch and design the box culvert project, with the design limits based on the total funding ultimately available for the project. Survey work is complete and the environmental documentation is being prepared.

On August 18, 2010, City Staff received approval to modify its January 15, 2010, Executed Inter-local Agreement with Leon County to include $4.2 Million for the Franklin Boulevard Flood Relief Project (Service Area #05). In addition, the City will be requesting approval of a Sub-Recipient Agreement between the City and Blueprint 2000. The $2.5 Million originally programmed for the Southside Ditch Stabilization Project was moved to the Franklin Boulevard Project due to production constraints and City Stormwater will provide the necessary funding for the Southside Ditch Stabilization Project.

Blueprint 2000 is in the process of submitting the necessary documentation including the project scope and fee, sole source justification, and environmental compliance with 24 C.F.R. Part 58 and 40 C.F.R. Section 1500-1508, National Environmental Policy Act Regulations to DCA for review and approval. Once DCA has approved the submitted information, Blueprint 2000 will issue the Design Phase Notice to Proceed with Genesis Group. Attached for information are the Project Activity Schedule, Project Description and Project Graphic.
Per HUD requirements, construction of the project is to be complete by the end of June 2012. Blueprint is concerned with the complexity of the HUD grant requirements and the extremely tight time constraints to complete the design and construction. As such, it will require the full cooperation and timely response from the regulatory and other responsible agencies in order to maintain the project schedule and not put funding reimbursement at risk.

Design issues: Blueprint and Genesis Group staff met on August 11 to define the improvements to be incorporated into the project. Issues discussed included median landscape treatment and provision of turn lanes at the cross streets, lateral pipe connections to the storm drain systems on the cross streets, treatment of the current overhead electric distribution lines (and coordination with a future City project to place them underground), phasing of the box culvert design and permitting, and early utility issue coordination/conflicts and relocations in order to meet the tight time frame. It was noted that the project’s anticipated improvements needed to be communicated to the Commissions and to the public, and that a Leon County School Board representative needed to be involved at future meetings to assist in determining how the improvements would be extend under East Tennessee Street and the resulting benefits and limitations associated with the flooding currently occurring at the Leon High School parking lot. Regarding turn lanes at cross streets, three options would be considered, one being no turn lanes added at this time.

In a recent meeting with the DCA, a request for the LPA Group (Blueprint’s GEC) to provide reimbursable project management (delivery) was approved. Additionally, DCA acknowledged that if necessary, it would be receptive to a request for time extension beyond the standard two (2) years due to the project’s size and complexity contingent upon demonstrating significant progress.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**
No action required, presented as information only.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
Project Activity Schedule
Project Description
Project Graphic
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Start (month &amp; year)</th>
<th>Date End (month &amp; year)</th>
<th>Describe Proposed Action to be Undertaken or Contract Special Condition</th>
<th>Clearance Documentation to be submitted by “Date End”</th>
<th># Units to be completed by “Date End”</th>
<th>Proposed $$ to be Requested by “Date End”</th>
<th>Proposed Administration $$ to be Requested by “Date End”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 2010</td>
<td>August 2010</td>
<td>Obtained Intergovernmental Agency Approval on June 21. Prepare Scope and Negotiate Design Fee with Consultant</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2010</td>
<td>Sept. 2010</td>
<td>Conduct Environmental Review and Prepare NEPA documentation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 2010</td>
<td>Oct. 2010</td>
<td>Execute Design Contract with Consultant upon DCA approval of NEPA documentation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 2010</td>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>Prepare Construction Plans and Specs. and obtain necessary permits</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 2010</td>
<td>Dec. 2010</td>
<td>Request Draw Down – Ongoing Design (50% Complete)</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 2011</td>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>Request Draw Down – Design (100% Complete)</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>May 2011</td>
<td>Request for Qualifications from CEI Firms</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>July 2011</td>
<td>Request for Proposals from CEI firms and select winner</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>July 2011</td>
<td>Advertise Invitation for Bids and Verify/Select Successful Bidder</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2011</td>
<td>August 2011</td>
<td>Issue Notice to Proceed – Begin Construction</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2011</td>
<td>Oct. 2011</td>
<td>Request Draw Down – CEI and Ongoing Construction (30% Complete)</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 2011</td>
<td>Jan. 2010</td>
<td>Request Draw Down – CEI and Ongoing Construction (60% Complete)</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 2012</td>
<td>April 2012</td>
<td>Request Draw Down – CEI and Ongoing Construction (90% Complete)</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2012</td>
<td>May 2012</td>
<td>Request Final Draw Down – CEI and Construction (100% Complete)</td>
<td>$520,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2012</td>
<td>June 2012</td>
<td>Final Inspection/Certification</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2012</td>
<td>July 2012</td>
<td>Complete Close-Out Packet and Submit to DCA for Review</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: More than one activity may be included per form. (Rev. August 2010)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

With funding assistance from the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), BLUEPRINT 2000 proposes to provide stormwater improvements to Segment 1 of the Capital Cascade Trail. Currently, the stormwater improvements to be designed and permitted are associated only with primary drainage improvements along this trail segment. General roadway and trail improvements, landscaping, and utility relocations will be designed and permitted at a later date. In general, the project will provide a box culvert and grassed medians in place of the existing open drainage conveyance along the center of Franklin Boulevard.

The proposed project will reduce flood stages on Franklin Boulevard using a combination of conveyance improvements and storage preservation as interim drainage improvements to Segment 1. As proposed, the project is to be a permanent flood relief project that will extend the CCT-Segment 2 box culvert from north of Pensacola Street to approximately 100 feet north of the entrance into the Leon High School student parking lot along Tennessee Street at the existing drainage ditch. At the north terminus of the project, the open drainage conveyance at Leon High School will be connected to the entrance headwall and access to the box culvert protected with a debris grate.

The project as proposed will provide drainage improvements to approximately 2,300 feet of existing undersized and hydraulically insufficient open stormwater conveyance, provide an additional conveyance under Tennessee Street, and provide a hydraulic connection to the existing drainage ditch and storm sewer system at Leon High School. The existing culverts under Jefferson Street, College Avenue, Park Avenue, and East Call Street and the existing open drainage conveyance with near-vertical sides will be replaced with a continuous concrete box culvert designed to provide flood relief along Franklin Boulevard.

The proposed culvert will be capable of containing and conveying the 25-year storm and damaging 100-year storm flood stage and also eliminate the hazard of the open ditch. The design will accommodate future roadway improvements such as turn lanes and landscaping.

Optional stormwater improvements, depending on the stormwater model results, include roadway improvements along Franklin Boulevard from Tennessee Street to Call Street to raise the grade of the southbound lanes. If this is necessary, Temporary Construction Easements may be required for reconstruction of two existing driveway access points at the two (2) commercial parcels along this section of Franklin Boulevard during construction.
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Chairman Mark Mustian called the meeting to order at 3:10 p.m. He mentioned that there wasn’t a quorum of the Board until Mayor Marks arrived and recommended that the Board review items that didn’t require Board action until Mayor Marks arrived.

I. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS

Mr. Davis stated that there was an agenda modification: Item #7 - Capital Cascade Trail – Segment 1 – Authorization to Negotiate a Supplemental Agreement to Design Segment 1 Flood Relief Project and to Amend the Master Plan to Incorporate any Additional Funding Received was being pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.
II. CITIZEN’S ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC) CHAIRMAN’S REPORT

Mr. Lamar Taylor, CAC Chairman, stated that the CAC recommended approval of the FY 2011 Operating Budget and the FY 2011- FY 2015 Capital Budget and noted that there was significant committee discussion on how to make the Operating Budget documentation more user friendly for next fiscal year. He further stated that the CAC recommended approval of David C. Jones, Luciano Ramos and himself as members of the CAC. Mr. Taylor stated that Capital Cascade Trail - Segment 1 relating to Franklin Boulevard flood relief improvements generated discussion from the committee and the public. He stated that the CAC voted to extend the existing contract with Genesis Group to carry out the design phase of a $1.7 M improvement all the way to Park Avenue and that if additional money was made available eventually extend the project north to Tennessee Street. He mentioned that the CAC also voted to recommend to move into the design phase for Capital Cascade - Segment 3, provided that there is additional input from FAMU.

III. INFORMATION ITEMS

1. CAC Meeting Minutes (February 11, 2010 and April 8, 2010)
   This item was presented as informational only.

2. Capital Circle Southeast: Woodville Highway to Crawfordville Road – Design/Build Project
   This item was presented as informational only.

3. Cascade Park Update
   This item was presented as informational only.

Mr. Davis stated that he recommended that the Board move to the review of the Blueprint 2000 Operating and Capital Budgets (Agenda Items 11 and 12) since they didn’t require a vote of the Board according to the policy.

11. Proposed FY 2011 Blueprint Operating Budget

Mr. Phil Maher, Blueprint 2000 Capital Projects and Finance Manager, stated that the operating budget was a no growth budget with a less than 1% increase to the total operating budget. He stated that the salaries portion doesn’t show an increase other than for fringe benefits, and that once the City and County budgets have been finalized, that Blueprint requests the higher salary adjustment of the City or the County.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Review and comment on the FY 2011 Operating Budget.

12. Revised Master Plan and Proposed FY 2011-FY 2015 Blueprint Capital Budget

Mr. Phil Maher, stated that the Blueprint Finance Committee met and their recommendation was that there be no change in the growth rate used for the Master Plan. He stated that the increases
that are shown were due to grants and donations that are outlined in the agenda item which resulted in a $10.3M increase to the Master Plan.

Commissioner Akinyemi stated that he agreed with the no changes to the budget and he asked how the Gulf oil spill would affect the budget. Mr. Maher stated that the budget was developed before the oil spill and that for the short-term there was no effect.

Commissioner Proctor asked if there was a possibility of moving projects from the outer years forward to take advantage of the cost savings that the present economy provides. Mr. Davis stated that there were no projects that could be accelerated any further than they currently are based upon budget constraints. He stated that by the end of the year Blueprint 2000 hoped to have $100M worth of construction going on in Leon County.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**
Review and comment on the revised Master Plan.

Chairman Mustian stated that he would like to move on to Item #7 that was pulled from consent for discussion and to allow citizens to be heard on the issue.

V. PRESENTATIONS/ACTIONS/DISCUSSIONS

7. Capital Cascade Trail - Segment 1 - Authorization to Negotiate a Supplemental Agreement to Design Segment 1 Flood Relief Project and to Amend the Master Plan to Incorporate any Additional Funding Received

Mr. Davis reiterated information in the agenda item regarding the history of the project and stated that there was an opportunity to take advantage of $1.7M of Hurricane Fay funding which would allow Blueprint to make improvements to Segment 1. He stated that the Board’s direction was to explore options that were not “throw away” solutions and to incorporate the original concept of the box culvert. He further stated that because Blueprint is positioned to be able to capitalize on money that becomes available, the opportunities are beginning to present themselves more and more. He stated that there were limitations on the money, i.e. that the money needs to be spent within two years and for that reason staff was requesting to use an existing contract in order to move the design along quickly. Mr. Davis stated that anything that Blueprint does will improve the flooding situation at Leon High School. He reiterated the benefits of the construction of the box culvert and where they would tie into Capital Cascade Park and, if extended with additional funding, Leon High.

**Mayor Marks was present from this point forward.**

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

**Option 1:**
A. Authorize the Director to negotiate a Supplemental Agreement with Genesis Group for an amount not to exceed $330,000 which includes a 10% contingency to model the St. Augustine Branch (SAB) from CCT Segment 2 to LHS parking
lot and to design the Segment 1 Flood Relief Improvement Project from the Segment 2 (Pensacola Street) Northern Terminus to north of Park Avenue.

B. Authorize the Director, if additional funding becomes available, to negotiate a Supplemental Agreement with Genesis Group for an amount not to exceed $350,000 which includes a 10% contingency to design the remaining section of Franklin Boulevard from north of Park Avenue to Tennessee Street, or to the LHS parking lot.

C. In addition to the FEMA funding, provide authorization to amend the Master Plan and incorporate into the Capital Budget any additional funding which may be identified and received for the Segment 1 Flood Relief Project.

**Commissioner Lightsey moved staff’s recommendation for discussion.** Commissioner Proctor seconded the motion.

Commissioner Proctor stated that he would like provide input from the Florida Bar and that access to their offices be considered during the process. Mr. Davis stated that the Florida Bar was concerned with the closing of cross streets (Jefferson Street) that access their facility. He stated that no cross streets or median openings would be closed and that would address the concerns that the Florida Bar has stated.

Commissioner Akinyemi stated that Mr. Davis had discussed several figures related to the project and asked whether staff would need to bring the item back before the Board depending on the final amount of money that becomes available. Mr. Davis stated that the staff’s recommendation was to have the Board authorize the amendment to the Master Plan and incorporate any additional funding which may be identified and received for Segment 1. This would prevent delaying the project.

Commissioner Lightsey asked what the potential source was of the additional $2.5M. Mr. Davis stated that the funds are from federal money that was earmarked for a project relating to Hurricane Fay. He further stated that the additional $2.5M came from a City project to stabilize the St. Augustine Branch/Central Drainage ditch. It was recently determined that that project would require the purchase of right-of-way and that the Fay money needs to be spent within two years. Mr. Davis further stated Blueprint can compete for $1M of the $311M federal HUD grant. Commissioner Lightsey asked what would happen to the City project that resulted in the $2.5M. Mr. Davis stated that he didn’t know but that he would get an answer. Commissioner Lightsey stated that she needed assurance that there would be funding for the City project should money for the right-of-way be obtained in the near term, and that moving the money upstream wouldn’t hamper the overall City project. Mr. Davis stated that was what was driving the transfer of the money to Blueprint -- the requirement that the money be spent within two years. He stated that the purchase of right-of-way on the City project would take at least one year, and cause the money to be lost.

Frank Terraferma, resident of 641 E. College, stated that he would like the Board to support the $1.5 solution to reduce flooding on Franklin Boulevard. He showed the Board a photo of flooding in the area from 1969 and stated that nothing has changed since then. He asked that the Board move forward with the improvements.
Nancy Markley, resident of 9796 Sidney Lane stated that she had grown up on College Avenue. She further stated that her parents purchased property there 45 years ago and her mother continues to have to deal with the flooding. She asked that the Board take steps to resolve the problems in the area.

Kelly Layman, resident of Franklin Boulevard, stated that there were two lives lost due to the flooding on Franklin and at the parking lot at Leon High School. She stated that she voted for the original concept of the Capital Cascade greenway and that she supported the work that Blueprint 2000 was doing in placing the culverts along Franklin Boulevard to relieve the flooding. She further stated that she didn’t support a Band-Aid approach that didn’t include Leon High School.

Mayor Marks stated that he needed to let the Board know that he owns property on Franklin Boulevard. Attorney Maribel Nicholson-Choice stated that he shouldn’t cast a vote on the issue.

Commissioner Akinyemi asked whether the $2.5M would include the portion of the culvert that connects to Leon High School. Mr. Davis stated that it would. Commissioner Lightsey asked if that portion hedged on federal approval within a certain timeline. Mr. Davis stated that staff needed to verify what the census tract is to the north side of Tennessee St. and not just to the south side of Tennessee St. to determine if the project is eligible to use the money. He stated that the FEMA requirement was that this project was a Letter Of Map Revision (LOMAR) versus a Conditional Letter Of Map Revision (CLOMAR), and that Blueprint 2000 has six months following the completion of the project to apply for that document. Commissioner Proctor expressed his support of Commissioner Lightsey’s motion.

Commissioner Akinyemi asked if the box culverts could be used only at the intersection crossings along Franklin allowing an open ditch, stream approach that was outlined in the Tallahassee Democrat weeks before the IA meeting. He recommended that Blueprint 2000 contact the gentleman from the article so that he could provide into to the engineering firm for a different perspective to ensure that the outcome of the study wouldn’t be predetermined. Mr. Davis stated that the Board had approved the concept of Franklin Boulevard years ago which included a box culvert during the concept review. He stated that what was before the Board was approval of the design and construction of a box culvert for the full length of Franklin Boulevard. He stated that there were problems with the open ditch concept, such as turn lanes and the safety of the open ditch.

Commissioner Mustian stated that when the EECC was discussing the Franklin Boulevard Segment, they determined that it wouldn’t be feasible to narrow Franklin from 4-lanes to 2-lanes with sidewalks and trails due to the volume of traffic. He stated that he would like to see multi-use bike lanes rather than turn lanes in the design of Franklin Boulevard.

Commissioner Lightsey stated that she supported the box culvert concept and that she expected to see pedestrian crossings, bike lanes and a planted median and not just a corridor to carry vehicles.

The motion carried 8-0 with Mayor Marks abstaining to vote.
IV. CONSENT

4. IA Meeting Minutes: March 1, 2010

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve minutes as provided.

5. Citizens Advisory Committee Appointments

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Option 1: Approve the following nominations:
   Representative from the Disabled Community: David C. Jones
   Representative from the Education Community: Luciano Ramos
   Financial Expert with Bonding Experience nominated by the EECC: Lamar Taylor

6. Relocation of Blueprint 2000 Office

RECOMMENDATIONS:
1. Authorize the Executive Director to pursue the relocation of the Blueprint Office and to negotiate a lease with the Landlord.
2. Authorize the Intergovernmental Management Committee to approve and finalize any lease agreement with the Landlord.

8. Capital Cascade Trail - Segments 3 and 4 Design Update: Request Approval to Initiate the Design Phase for Segment 3 From South Adams Street to Gamble Street

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Option 1:
1. Approval to initiate the design phase for Capital Cascade Trail Segment 3.

9. Springhill Road Corridor Improvement Concept Report

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1:
1. Accept the Concept Report regarding future improvements to Springhill Road between Capital Circle SW and Orange Avenue.
2. Instruct CRTPA staff to identify Springhill Road as a future four-lane roadway from Capital Circle SW to Orange Avenue in the CRTPA Regional Mobility Plan currently under development, and correspondingly instruct Planning Department staff to incorporate the segment into the Right-of-Way Needs Map in the Transportation Element of the Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan.

Commissioner Desloge moved to approve the consent agenda. Commissioner Lightsey seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously 9-0.
V. PRESENTATIONS/ACTIONS/DISCUSSIONS

10. Election of Intergovernmental Agency Vice-Chair

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**
That the Agency conduct an election for a Vice-Chairperson in accordance with the approved By-Laws.

Commissioner Sauls moved to nominate Commissioner Desloge. Commissioner Proctor seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously 9-0.

VI. CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

There were no additional citizens to be heard.

VII. ITEMS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

There were no items presented.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chairman Mustian adjourned the meeting at 3:50 pm.

APPROVED:        ATTEST:

________________________   __________________________
Mark Mustian     Shelonda Meeks
Chairman of Blueprint 2000 IA   Secretary to Blueprint 2000 IA
#7.

Citizens Advisory Committee
Appointments
SUBJECT/TITLE: Citizens Advisory Committee Appointments

Date: September 20, 2010  Requested By: Blueprint 2000 Staff
Contact Person: Dave Bright  Type of Item: Consent (IA)

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
This item advises the Intergovernmental Agency on two appointments to the Blueprint 2000 Citizens Advisory Committee.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
Representative from the EECC - Natural Scientist/Biologist: This position was filled by Jess Van Dyke, who served in the position from November 2001 through November 2009, and was not eligible for reappointment. **Richard Drew has been nominated by the EECC for this position.** The appointment term will be through November 2012.

Representative from the Council of Neighborhood Associations: This position was filled by Stephen Hogge, who has served in the position for one year during his term as CONA President. **Christic Henry, current CONA President has been nominated for the position.** The appointment term will be through November 2012. (CONA has previously appointed the acting CONA President for a one-year term. The CAC By-laws state terms are for three years; staff is working to resolve this inconsistency.)

OPTIONS:
Option 1: Approve the following nominations:
- **Representative from the EECC - Natural Scientist/Biologist:** Richard Drew
- **Representative from the Council of Neighborhood Associations:** Christic Henry

Option 2: Provide Board guidance.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Option 1: Approve the following nominations:
- **Representative from the EECC - Natural Scientist/Biologist:** Richard Drew
- **Representative from the Council of Neighborhood Associations:** Christic Henry
Action by TCC and CAC:
The CAC approved the nomination of Richard Drew. Christic Henry attended the August 26 CAC meeting.

The nominations were not presented to the TCC.

ATTACHMENTS:
Biographical Sketch of Richard Drew
E-mail nominating Christic Henry and CAC Application
Resume of Richard Drew

Richard Drew, Chief, Bureau of Water Facilities Regulation
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Tallahassee, Florida

Education:

Bachelors of Science, University of Florida
Masters Degree in Environmental Engineering Sciences, University of Florida

Professional Experience:

Except for a four-year span when I co-authored four books on the ecosystem of southwest Florida watersheds for the U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service, I have more than 30 years with the FDEP, working on various water resource issues. Since the mid-1980s, I have been involved wastewater regulatory program, including the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) wastewater program. In addition to the NPDES wastewater program, over the last fifteen years as Chief, I have been responsible for managing the federal Drinking Water, Underground Injection Control, NPDES Storm Water and Florida’s Ground Water regulatory programs. This involves coordination of decentralized regulatory programs in more than 15 district and branch offices and multiple agencies. As all these programs are federally delegated, I have worked with various regional and national EPA offices and initiatives.

Additional relevant experience:
- Involvement in Florida’s emergency management program and the development of FLWarn (facilities helping other facilities) to speed the recovery of water and wastewater treatment facilities to natural and man-made emergencies, such as those generated by the 2004 and 2005 hurricane seasons.
- Managing alternative water resource programs, such as wastewater reuse, aquifer storage and recovery, and desalination programs, that lead the country in numbers of active systems and volume produced.
- Participation on state climate and national emergency response workgroups.
- Development of multi-agency response plans for toxic algal blooms, including establishing standardized sampling and analysis protocols to accurately characterize the bloom events.

Contact information:

Richard Drew
Business Phone; 850-245-8666
Cellular Phone; 850-933-0246
E-mail; Richard.drew@dep.state.fl.us
CITY OF TALLAHASSEE
CITIZEN COMMITTEE
APPLICATION

Name: Christic A. Henry
Address: 3121 Pontiac Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Work Phone: 850-671-4663 Home Phone: 850-509-5559
Occupation: Managing Broker
Employer: Kingdom First Realty, Inc.
1363-C East Lafayette Street, Tallahassee, FL 32301

Race:  · White  · Hispanic  · Asian or Pacific Islander
       · Black  · American Indian or Alaskan Native  · Other
Sex:  · Male  · Female

Identify the Advisory Board(s)/Committee(s) on which you wish to serve:
1) Blueprint 2000 Citizens Advisory Committee
2) __________________________

Identify any potential conflicts of interest that might occur were you to be appointed:
None currently.

Are you a City resident?  · Yes  · No
Are you a City utility customer?  · Yes  · No
Are you a City property owner?  · Yes  · No
Are you a Leon County resident?  · Yes  · No
Are you a Leon County property owner?  · Yes  · No
Can you serve a full three-year term?  · Yes  · No
Can you regularly attend meetings?  · Yes  · No
Conflicts: __________________________
Please provide biographical information about yourself (attach a resume, if available). Identify previous experience on other boards/committees; charitable/community activities; and skills or services you could contribute to this board/committee:

----Public Service Resume Attached----

Education:

Florida State University
(College/University attended)
B.S., Political Science (2003)
(Degree received, if applicable)

References (at least one):
Anita Davis, 708 Bragg Drive, Tallahassee, FL 32305 850-574-3075
(Name/Address) (Phone)
Steven Hogge, 410 East 9th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 850-459-3029
(Name/Address) (Phone)
Terence Hinson, 107 Young Street, Tallahassee, FL 32301 850-528-7653
(Name/Address) (Phone)

All statements and information given in this application are true to the best of my knowledge.

Signature:  
Date: 09/03/2010

(7/22/06 Revision)
Objective
To serve my community effectively through education, advocacy, and innovation at the grassroots level.

Notable Achievements
- Over 1700+ hours of Community Service in Southside Tallahassee Communities through Americorp National Service Corp. and subsequent community service opportunities for over 10 years;
- 2010-11 President of the Council of Neighborhood Associations-Tallahassee/Leon County (CONA).
- 2008-2010 Secretary of the Apalachee Ridge Neighborhood Association;
- Appointment to 2010 Leon County Census Complete Count Committee;
- Training and Experience in Florida Real Estate for over seven (7) years;
- Published writer for Tallahassee Realtor magazine and contributing writer for Tallahassee Democrat, The Lakeland Ledger, and the Florida Flambeau;
- Served as 2008 Grievance Committee Chair and 2009 Communications Committee Chair for Tallahassee Board of Realtors®;
- 1st Vice-President of the Smith-Williams Service Center Foundation Board of Directors;
- Program Facilitator for the DIVAS/Smith-Williams Service Center Girls Mentoring Program;
- Experienced Speaker, Trainer, and Programs Development Specialist for a host of community service initiatives.

Certifications, Licenses, and Training
State of Florida
Real Estate Salesperson License, 2002
Real Estate Broker License, 2007

Tallahassee Board of Realtors
Designation- Certified Leadership Graduate (CLG), September 2006

Council of Residential Specialists
Certification- Certified New Homes Specialist Training Program, 2006
Designation- Certified Residential Specialist (CRS), September 2007

National Association of Realtors Diversity Initiatives Program
Certification- At Home with Diversity Training Program, April 2008

Professional/Community Involvement
Professional- Tallahassee Board of Realtors®
Florida Association of Realtors®
National Association of Realtors®
Big Bend Chapter of the National Association of Real Estate Brokers
National Association of Real Estate Brokers
African-American Real Estate Agents (AREA)

Community- Smith-Williams Service Center Foundation, Inc.- Board of Directors
Southside Affordable Housing and Investment Showcase- Board of Directors
Florida Housing Coalition, Inc.- Member
Apalachee Ridge Estates Neighborhood Association- Secretary
Council of Neighborhood Associations- President
Education
Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL
B.S., Political Science (Minor in Communications), 2003
Tallahassee Community College, Tallahassee, FL
A.A., General Studies (Emphasis in Political Science), 2000

References
Franklin D. Holmes
Center Supervisor
Smith-Williams Service Center (NCS)
(850)891-1860

Terence Hinson
Real Estate Broker
Hinson Realty
(850)224-4775

Carol “Sunny” Phillips
Secretary, Board of Directors
Council of Neighborhood Associations
(850)445-6555
#8.

Close-out of Capital Circle Southeast from Connie Drive To Tram Road and Transfer of Remaining Funds
SUBJECT/TITLE: Close out of Capital Circle Southeast from Connie Drive to Tram Road and the Transfer of Remaining Funds

Date: September 20, 2010
Requested By: IMC
Contact Person: Phil Maher
Type of Item: Consent

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
This item is to transfer the unexpended funds from Capital Circle Southeast from Connie Drive to Tram Road to cover any funds needed to cover the additional costs rising from the karst investigation for the Capital Circle Southeast Tram Road to Woodville Highway section (and other related items) and transfer the balance to Capital Cascade Segment 3 & 4.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
The remaining parcels that were in Order of Takings have been closed either thru settlement or by jury trial. The only remaining costs associated with these parcels are attorney and expert witness fees. Once these costs are settled, it is anticipated there will be approximately $5.5 million remaining in the project.

Blueprint is recommending that remaining funds be transferred to the segment of Capital Circle Southeast from Tram Road and Woodville Highway and to Capital Cascade Segment 3 & 4 for the following reasons:
- There is a distinct possibility that additional funds will be needed to cover the cost associated with the extensive karst investigation and mitigation required on the segment of Capital Circle from Tram Road and Woodville Highway as well as other related costs.
- Funding for Segments 3 and 4 of Capital Cascade Trail from Monroe Street to Munson Slough was significantly reduced in the past due to funding reductions caused by the downturn in the economy.

It is anticipated that the majority of the $5.5 million in funds will be allocated to Capital Cascade Trail.

OPTIONS:
Option 1: Approve the transfer of remaining funds from Capital Circle Southeast from Connie Drive to Tram Road to Capital Circle Southeast from Tram Road to Woodville Highway and to Capital Cascade Trail Segment 3 & 4.

Option 2: Provide Board Guidance
Action by the TCC and CAC:
TCC: The item was not presented to the TCC.
CAC: The CAC concurred in the recommended action (6 members present, however, a quorum had been lost).

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Option 1: Approve the transfer of remaining funds from Capital Circle Southeast from Connie Drive to Tram Road to Capital Circle Southeast from Tram Road to Woodville Highway and to Capital Cascade Trail Segment 3 & 4.
#9.

Proposed 2011 IA, TCC and CAC Meeting Schedules
STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
This item lists the PROPOSED 2011 meeting dates for the Intergovernmental Agency, Blueprint 2000 Technical Coordinating Committee, and the Blueprint 2000 Citizens Advisory Committee. These proposed dates are “effectively the same dates” as for meetings which were held during 2010.

These proposed dates have been coordinated with City, County, Planning, CRA, and CRTPA staff, and will be adjusted at the February 2011 meetings if needed to avoid all other meetings, conferences, and conflicts. However, due to other calendar conflicts and constraints, it is noted that the June 20 and September 19 dates include a CRA meeting (12:00 noon), a CRTPA meeting (1:00 pm), and an IA meeting.

Intergovernmental Agency (Tallahassee City Commission Chambers)
- Monday, February 28, 2011, from 3:00-5:00 pm
- Monday, June 20, 2011, from 3:00-5:00 pm
- Monday, September 19, 2011, from 5:00-8:00 pm (FY 2012 Budget Public Hearing at 6:00 pm)

Technical Coordinating Committee (Blueprint 2000 Office, from 1:00 to 3:00 pm)
- Thursday, February 10, 2011
- Thursday, April 7, 2011
- Thursday, June 2, 2011
- Thursday, August 25, 2011
- Thursday, October 20, 2011
- Thursday, December 1, 2011

Citizens Advisory Committee (Blueprint 2000 Office, from 4:30 to 6:30 pm)
- Thursday, February 10, 2011
- Thursday, April 7, 2011
- Thursday, June 2, 2011 (FY 2012 Budget Public Hearing at 5:30 pm)
- Thursday, August 25, 2011
- Thursday, October 20, 2011
- Thursday, December 1, 2011
OPTIONS:
Option 1: Approve the dates as presented.
Option 2: Board Guidance

Action by TCC and CAC:
The CAC approved the meeting dates as presented.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Option 1: Approve the dates as presented.
#10.

Capital Circle
NW/SW Update
Agenda Item

SUBJECT/TITLE:  Capital Circle Northwest/Southwest Update: US 90 to SR 20

Date: September 20, 2010  Requested By: Blueprint 2000 Staff
Contact Person: Latesa Turner  Type of Item: Consent

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
The purpose of this agenda item is to update the Board on the status of the Capital Circle NW/SW project related to construction procurement, the Local Agency Project (LAP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), and the Joint Project Agreement with Leon County for the design and construction of the Broadmoor Wetland Mitigation site.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
Committed Funding: The Board has been advised that the currently available funding of $40.5 million will allow construction of the project from south of US 90 to north of SR 20, tying into the existing four-lane Capital Circle roadway north of the SR 20 intersection.

Construction Procurement Schedule: At the June 17, 2009, Board Meeting, the IA authorized staff to modify the construction limits and initiate procurement activities for construction of the segment of Capital Circle NW/SW from south of US 90 (Tennessee Street) to north of SR 20 (Blountstown Highway), at a cost not to exceed $40.5 million (including $2.75 million for Construction Engineering and Inspection (CE&I) services and a 10 percent contingency). Final plans for the US 90 to SR 20 segment are scheduled to be complete on October 15, 2010. It is expected that the Agency will advertise for project construction by late-October, and that the project will be under contract with the low bid contractor by December 1, 2010. Construction is expected to begin in early 2011.

For the IA, this Agenda Item again requests approval to begin the construction procurement process. The Recommended Action, however, removes the reference to the solicitation of qualifications and short listing of construction firms. Since Federal funding is being utilized on this project, the project must adhere to Federal procurement requirements which preclude short listing of potential construction firms.

Local Agency Project (LAP) Agreement: A Joint Project Agreement between Blueprint 2000 and the Florida Department of Transportation has previously been executed allowing Blueprint to construct the project. A Local Agency Project (LAP) Agreement is underway which makes Blueprint eligible to do work using Federal funds.
**Broadmoor Wetland Mitigation Area:** As part of the Capital Circle NW/SW project development, the Gum Road mitigation site (Parcel 244) was acquired and designed to provide floodplain and wetland mitigation to address Leon County, Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) and US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) permit requirements. The Gum Road mitigation site is located on property which was previously identified by Leon County for future expansion into a 20-acre stormwater management facility (Pond 3) per the 2002 Gum Road Target Area Master Plan. As a condition of the FDEP and ACOE permits for the Capital Circle NW/SW project, a conservation easement in favor of the FDEP would be required over the proposed wetland mitigation area at the Gum Road site, which would hinder the County’s ability to expand the site in the future.

At the County’s request, Blueprint has agreed to design and construct the wetland mitigation on the Broadmoor property, located south of Jackson Bluff Road, instead of at the Gum Road site. The Broadmoor wetland mitigation area will be placed in a FDEP conservation easement and will provide the required wetland mitigation in lieu of that previously proposed at the Gum Road site. The floodplain storage area at the Gum Road site will still be constructed in order to provide the required floodplain mitigation for the project. However, removal of the wetland mitigation and the associated conservation easement will enable the County to pursue future expansion of the floodplain storage area as envisioned in the 2002 Gum Road Target Area Master Plan.

Blueprint and Leon County are currently negotiating a Joint Project Agreement to cover the design and construction costs for the Broadmoor wetland mitigation site.

**OPTIONS**

**Option 1:** Authorize the advertisement for Construction Services for the Capital Circle NW/SW Project, from south of US 90 (West Tennessee Street) to north of SR 20 (Blountstown Highway), at a cost not to exceed $40.5 million, which includes $2.75 million for Construction Engineering and Inspection (CE&I) services and a 10 percent contingency. This will be a Design, Bid, Build Contract.

1. Authorize staff to initiate the procurement process for the selection of a Construction Firm for the Capital Circle NW/SW project, from south of US 90 to north of SR 20, to include advertisement and selection of the lowest responsible bidder, per Federal guidelines.
2. Authorize the Intergovernmental Management Committee to negotiate and award a contract with the selected firm and if negotiations are unsuccessful be authorized to move to the next lowest responsive bidder in sequence.

**Option 2:** Board Guidance
RECOMMENDED ACTION:

**Option 1:** Authorize the advertisement for Construction Services for the Capital Circle NW/SW Project, from south of US 90 (West Tennessee Street) to north of SR 20 (Blountstown Highway), at a cost not to exceed $40.5 million, which includes $2.75 million for Construction Engineering and Inspection (CE&I) services and a 10 percent contingency. This will be a Design, Bid, Build Contract.

1. Authorize staff to initiate the procurement process for the selection of a Construction Firm for the Capital Circle NW/SW project, from south of US 90 to north of SR 20, to include advertisement and selection of the lowest responsible bidder, per Federal guidelines.
2. Authorize the Intergovernmental Management Committee to negotiate and award a contract with the selected firm and if negotiations are unsuccessful be authorized to move to the next lowest responsive bidder in sequence.

**Action by TCC and CAC:**
No action requested, provided to the CAC and TCC for information only.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
None
#11.

Coal Chute Pond: Right-of-Way Acquisition and Resolutions
STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
This item requests approval to proceed with the acquisition of right of way that has been identified as required for the construction of the Coal Chute Pond regional stormwater facility. This pond, once constructed, will be an integral part of the redevelopment of the central Tallahassee area, and is to be located in Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
Section 105.09 of Blueprint 2000’s Real Estate Policy, approved by the Intergovernmental Agency (“IA”) in November 2004, provides for the IA’s approval of resolutions stating the public purpose of a project and the necessity of acquiring parcels needed to construct a public project. The acquisition of the necessary parcels can be in the form of a negotiated settlement or through the power of condemnation.

There are ten (10) privately owned parcels required for the construction of the Coal Chute Park Pond, which will be a downtown regional stormwater facility that will serve as an integral part of the proposed redevelopment of central Tallahassee. The pond will be an offline facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and will aid in flood control for the watershed, as well as provide treatment volume and attenuation for new downtown development.

Coal Chute Pond will be permitted through the City of Tallahassee and Northwest Florida Water Management District as a regional stormwater facility allowing redevelopment stormwater capacity to be allocated for future use by redevelopment along the Gaines Street corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way corridor. The pond is located within Segment 3 of the Capital Cascade Trail Master Plan as approved by the IA in 2005.

The ten (10) privately owned parcels have been identified as necessary for the construction of the Coal Chute Pond and this item requests approval from the IA to acquire these parcels either through negotiated settlements or through the use of Blueprint’s condemnation powers. Individual resolutions for each of the ten (10) parcels are attached to this agenda item, as well as a general location map of the project and parcels. See Attachments 1. and 2., respectively.
Funding for the design and right of way acquisition of this pond was provided by the City of Tallahassee’s Community Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") pursuant to a Joint Participation Agreement ("Agreement") between the Agency and Blueprint 2000 dated April 24, 2009. Additional funding for the project will be provided consistent with the terms of the Agreement.

**OPTIONS:**

Option 1: Approve the Resolutions allowing the acquisition of the ten (10) privately owned parcels required for the construction of the Coal Chute Pond regional stormwater facility.

Option 2: Provide Board Guidance.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

Option 1: Approve the Resolutions allowing the acquisition of the ten (10) privately owned parcels required for the construction of the Coal Chute Pond regional stormwater facility.

**Action by CAC:** Presented to the CAC as information only; no action required.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

1. Individual Resolutions for each of the ten (10) privately owned parcels, including individual legal descriptions and sketches of each parcel to be acquired.

2. General Location Map of Coal Chute Pond and the properties to be acquired.
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 03

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 1, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ___ day of September 2010.

By: __________________________
    Mark Mustian, Chairman
    Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED

By: __________________________
    Shelonda Meeks
    Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: __________________________
    Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
    Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
DESCRIPTION – PARCEL 1
Official Records Book 987, Page 508
Leon County, Florida

From the SW corner of Section 36, Township 1 North, Range 1 West, run South 00 degrees 26 minutes East 259.3 feet to the Northeast corner of the property now owned by George Troxler where point is marked by a T. iron and the intersection of two fences, thence run South 1 degree 15 minutes West along a fence line 137.4 feet, thence South 82 degrees 09 minutes West along fence line 86.7 to the point of beginning. From said point of beginning run North 5 degrees 30 minutes West (approx. bearing) 124.1 feet to a point on the South right of way line of S.A.I.R.R. which point is 102.0 feet Westerly from above mentioned T. iron, thence Westerly along the South right of way line of S.A.I.R.R. 61.6 feet, thence South 3 degrees 30 minutes East (approx. bearing) 113.0 feet to the intersection of two fences, thence North 82 degrees 09 minutes East 63.0 feet to the point of beginning.

This is NOT A SURVEY

O'NEAL SURVEYING & MAPPING, INC.
1122 Buena Vista Road
Tallahassee, FL 32308
Ph: 850-273-2124
Fax: 850-273-2134
Licensed Survey Business CE007713

Exhibit “A”
Parcel 1
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 04

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS, the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 2, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ___ day of September 2010.

By: ____________________________
   Mark Mustian, Chairman
   Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED

By: ____________________________
   Shelonda Meeks
   Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: ____________________________
   Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
   Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
POINT OF COMMENCEMENT
SW Comer of Section
36, Township 1 North,
Range 1 West

DESCRIPTION - PARCEL 2
Official Records
Book 162, Page 219
Leon County, Florida

From the SW corner of Section 36, T1N, R1W, run S 00 degrees 26 minutes E 259.3 feet to the NE corner of the property now owned by George Traylor which point is marked by a T iron and the intersection of two fences, thence run S 1 degree 11 minutes W along fence line 137.4 feet to the intersection of two fences, which point is the point of beginning. From said point of beginning run S 00 degrees 52 minutes E along a fence line 212.0 ft., thence S 88 degrees 28 minutes W along a fence line 135.5 ft. to a point on the east line of the property now owned by the City of Tallahassee, thence N 1 degree 07 minutes W, following a fence and on the east line of said City property 269.8 ft. to the south R/W line of the S.A.L.R.R. which point is marked by a permanent reference monument set by the City of Tallahassee, thence northeasterly along the south R/W line of S.A.L.R.R. 145 ft. to point which is 163.0 ft. westerly of the previously mentioned T iron, thence S 3 degrees 30 minutes E (approx. bearing) 113.0 ft. to the point of beginning.

THIS IS NOT A SURVEY

O'NEAL SURVEYING & MAPPING, INC.
1929 Buford Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32308
Ph. 850-233-2534
Licensed Survey Business 0007713

Exhibit "A"
Parcel 2
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 05

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 3, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ____ day of September 2010.

By: ____________________________  
Mark Mustian, Chairman  
Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED  

By:______________________________  By:______________________________  
Shelonda Meeks  
Debra W. Schiro, Esquire  
Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary  
Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
DESCRIPTION – PARCEL 3
Deed Book 199, Page 339
Leon County, Florida

Lot No. 1 of Plat of survey of property of Frank Stoutamire, per recorded in Deed Book 162, page 60, more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Southeast corner of the Northeast ¼ of the NE quarter of Section 1, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, thence South 0 degrees 06 minutes West 31.54 feet, thence West 261.54 feet to a point, thence North 0 degrees 59 minutes West 630.41 feet to an iron pin, which point is the point of beginning; thence North 0 degrees 59 minutes West 670 feet to a point; thence East 83.5 feet, thence South 0 degrees 06 minutes West 57.2 feet, thence Westerly to the point of beginning.

NOT TO SCALE

PARCEL 3
DEED BOOK 199
PAGE 339
0.11 ACRES +/-

POINT OF BEGINNING
ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH/CITY DRAINAGE CANAL
60' RIGHT OF WAY

POINT OF BEGINNING
SE Corner of the NE ¼ of the NE ¼ of Section 2, Township 1 South, Range 1 West

THIS IS NOT A SURVEY

SKETCH OF DESCRIPTION
CAPITAL CASCADE TRAIL
SEGMENT 3
PARCEL 3

FILE NO. 4102200120000

Exhibit “A”
Parcel 3
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 06

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 4, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ____ day of September 2010.

By: __________________________
Mark Mustian, Chairman
Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED

By: __________________________
Shelonda Meeks
Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: __________________________
Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
Begin at the Southeast corner of the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Section 2, T1S, R1W and run south 0° 06' West 31.54 feet, thence West 261.54, FEET, thence N 0° 59' west 597.41 feet to the point of beginning of the land here–by conveyed; thence West 83.5 feet to the point of beginning.

ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH/CITY DRAINAGE CANAL

POINT OF BEGINNING
SE Corner of the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Section 2, Township 1 South, Range 1 West

NOT TO SCALE

MARY E. O'NEAL
PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR AND MAPPER
FLORIDA CERTIFICATE NO. 6414

THIS IS NOT A SURVEY

SKETCH OF DESCRIPTION
CAPITAL CASCADE TRAIL
SEGMENT 3
PARCEL 4

FILE NO. 4102200130000

Exhibit "A"
Parcel 4
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 07

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS, the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 5, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ____ day of September 2010.

By: __________________________
    Mark Mustian, Chairman
    Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED

By: __________________________
    Shelonda Meeks
    Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: __________________________
    Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
    Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
DESCRIPTION - PARCEL 5
Deed Book 170, Page 53
Leon County, Florida

Commence at the Southeast corner of the Northeast quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 2 Township 1 South, range 1 West, and run thence South 88 Degrees 00 Minutes West 31.54 feet to a point in the centerline of Eugenia Street in the City of Tallahassee, Florida, thence run West along said center line 281.54 feet, thence North 88 Degrees 59 Minutes West 630.41 feet, more or less, to a point marked by an iron pin on the Northern boundary of the right of way of the City of Tallahassee drainage canal, thence run North 04 Minutes West 100.00 feet, thence run North 88 Degrees 28 Minutes East 83.5 feet to a point, which said point is the point of beginning, from said point of beginning, run thence North 88 Degrees 28 Minutes East 52.00 feet, thence run South 00 Degrees 52 Minutes East 85.7 feet to a point on the Northern boundary of the right of way of the City of Tallahassee drainage canal, thence Southwesterly along said right of way boundary 51.9 feet, more or less to a point, thence run North 47 Degrees East 90.7 feet more or less to the point of beginning.

POINT OF BEGINNING
N 88°28' E 52.0'

ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH/CITY DRAINAGE CANAL
60' RIGHT OF WAY

POINT OF BEGINNING
SE Corner of the NE 1 of the NE 1 of Section 2
Township 1 South,
Range 1 West

THIS IS NOT A SURVEY

O'NEAL SURVEYING & MAPPING, INC.
1929 Buford Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32308
Ph. 850-273-2134
Licensed Survey Business 0007113

Exhibit “A”
Parcel 5
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 08

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS, the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 6, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ____ day of September 2010.

By: ____________________________
    Mark Mustian, Chairman
    Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED

By: ____________________________  By: ____________________________
    Shelonda Meeks                Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
    Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT
DESCRIPTION - PARCEL 6
Official Records Book 34, Page 482
Leon County, Florida

Commence at the point of intersection of a line Twenty (20) feet West of and parallel to the East boundary of that certain tract of land described in Deed Book 2, Page 509, of the public records of Leon County, Florida with the Southern boundary of the right of way of the Seaboard Air Line Railroad, and run thence South 00 degrees, 16 minutes East a distance of approximately 326.47 feet to a point on the Northern boundary right of way of the City Drainage Canal, thence run South 68 degrees 09 minutes West along the Northern boundary of said drainage canal a distance of twenty-two (22) feet to the point of beginning. From said point of beginning run South 68 degrees and 09 minutes West along the Northern boundary of said drainage canal a distance of Forty (40) feet, thence run North 49 degrees 46 minutes West to a point on the Southern right of way boundary of the Seaboard Air Line Railroad, thence run Northeasterly along said railroad right of way a distance of Forty-seven (47) feet, more or less, to a point, thence run South 49 degrees 46 minutes East along straight line to the point of beginning.

THIS IS NOT A SURVEY

O'NEAL SURVEYING & MAPPING, INC.
1029 Buford Boulevard
Tallahassee, Fl. 32308
Ph. 850-273-2534
Licensed Survey Business 0007713

Exhibit “A”
Parcel 6

Sketch of Description
Capital Cascade Trail
Segment 3
Parcel 6

Folio No. 4102200090000
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 09

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS, the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 7, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ___ day of September 2010.

By: ______________________________
    Mark Mustian, Chairman
    Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED

By: ______________________________
    Shelonda Meeks
    Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: ______________________________
    Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
    Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
DESCRIPTION - PARCEL 7

Commence at the point of intersection of a line Twenty (20) feet West of and parallel to the East boundary of that certain tract of land described in Deed Book 2, Page 509, of the public records of Leon County, Florida with the Southerly boundary of the right of way of the Seaboard Air Line Railroad, and run thence South 00 degrees, 18 minutes East a distance of approximately 326.47 feet to a point on the Northern boundary right of way of the City Drainage Canal, thence run South 68 degrees and 09 minutes West along the Northern boundary of said drainage canal a distance of sixty-two (62) feet to the point of beginning. From said point of beginning run South 68 degrees 09 minutes West along the Northern boundary of said drainage canal a distance of 62.5 feet, thence run North 60 degrees 33 minutes West to a point on the Southerly right of way boundary to the Seaboard Air Line Railroad, thence run Northwesterly along said railroad right of way a distance of forty-seven (47) feet, more or less, to a point, thence run South 49 degrees 46 minutes East along straight line to the point of beginning.
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 10

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS, the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 8, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ____ day of September 2010.

By: ____________________________
    Mark Mustian, Chairman
    Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED               APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By:__________________________    By:__________________________
    Shelonda Meeks               Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
    Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary   Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
BEGIN at the intersection of the Southerly boundary of the Right of Way of the Seaboard Air Line Railroad, with the Northerly boundary at the Right of Way of the City Drainage Canal, and run thence North 68 degrees 09 minutes East Three hundred nine and sixty-two hundredths (309.62) feet, along said Canal right boundary, thence North 60 degrees 33 minutes west One hundred eighty-four and sixty-four hundredths (184.64) feet to a point on the Southerly right of way boundary of the Seaboard Air Line Railroad, thence Southwesterly along said right of way boundary Two hundred forty-one and four tenths (241.4) feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, Containing fifty-one hundredths (0.51) of an acre, more or less.
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 11

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

A RESOLUTION OF LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY RECOGNIZING AND ESTABLISHING THAT A VALID PUBLIC PURPOSE IS SERVED BY THE IMPROVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE AND LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF THE CITY OF TALLAHASSEE’S COAL CHUTE PARK, LYING IMMEDIATELY TO THE WEST OF RAILROAD SQUARE, TO THE SOUTH OF THE CSX RAILROAD AND NORTH OF THE ST. AUGUSTINE BRANCH DITCH, TO BE KNOWN AS COAL CHUTE POND REGIONAL STORMWATER FACILITY (THE PROJECT); AND DETERMINING THAT PROPERTY IS NECESSARY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING BLUEPRINT 2000 AND ITS AGENTS AND DESIGNEES TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PROPERTY BY GIFT, DONATION, PURCHASE, OR THE EXERCISE OF EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS.

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS, the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 9, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ____ day of September 2010.

By: ______________________________
   Mark Mustian, Chairman
   Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED

By: ______________________________
   Shelonda Meeks
   Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: ______________________________
   Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
   Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
LEGAL DESCRIPTION
GAP PARCEL 2

A parcel of land lying in the City of Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, being a portion of lands described in Deed Book 115, page 129 and Deed Book 2, page 509 of the Public Records of Leon County, Florida, also being a portion of the Northeast 1/4 of Section 2, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the point of intersection of a line being 20 feet West of and parallel to the East line of that certain tract of land described in Deed Book 2, page 509 of the Public Records of Leon County, Florida, with the Southerly right of way of CSX Transportation Railroad (formerly Seaboard Air line Railroad); thence run South 00 degrees 03 minutes 36 seconds West along said parallel line, 338 feet, more or less, to the Northerly right of way of the City Drainage Canal (St. Augustine Branch); thence departing said parallel line, run South 68 degrees 30 minutes 36 seconds West along said Northerly right of way 104.50 feet to the Point Of Beginning; thence departing said Northerly right of way, run North 56 degrees 55 minutes 54 seconds West 203 feet, more or less, to said Southerly Railroad right of way; thence run Northeasterly along said Railroad right of way, 47 feet, to the Northwest corner of that certain tract of land described in Official Record Book 808, page 22 of said Public Records; thence departing said Railroad right of way, run South 43 degrees 44 minutes 06 seconds East along the West boundary line of said tract, 205 feet, more or less, to the Northerly right of way of said Drainage Canal and the Point Of Beginning.

Containing: 4767 square feet, 0.11 acres, more or less.
RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 12

ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COAL CHUTE POND AS PART OF SEGMENT 3 OF THE CASCADE TRAIL PROJECT

WHEREAS, Leon County-City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency (the Agency) was formed by Interlocal Agreement on October 27, 2000, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 163.01, Florida Statutes; Article VII, Sections 1 and 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida; Chapter 166, Florida Statutes; Chapter 125, Florida Statutes; Section 202.19(5), Florida Statutes, Chapter 212; and other applicable provisions of law, to undertake the acquisition, financing, planning, constructing, managing, operating, servicing, utilizing, owning and exchanging of the Blueprint Projects as set forth in Section 8 of Part V of the Interlocal Agreement, as the same may be amended from time to time by agreement of the City and the County; and:

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish its purposes the Agency shall have the power, pursuant to direction or authorization by its Board of Directors, by its bylaws or by the powers granted by the Interlocal Agreement to appropriate property by gift, donation, purchase, or by exercising the right and power of eminent domain, including the procedural powers under Chapter 73 and 74, Florida Statutes, pursuant to its delegated authority as set forth generally in Chapters 125, 127, 163, 166 and 337, Florida Statutes, and more specifically as set forth in Section 163.01(7)(f); and
WHEREAS, Section 8, Part V of the Interlocal Agreement identified the need to reconstruct the St. Augustine Branch as an urban waterway with a series of lakes/ponds for stormwater treatment as a part of Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail and as a result a water quality treatment and flood attenuation facility/plan was approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on January 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Agency intends to construct a regional stormwater facility that will be an off-line facility that provides retrofit stormwater treatment and aids in flood control for the watershed, as well as providing treatment volume and attenuation for new development along Gaines Street Corridor, within the adjacent Railroad Square, and along the future FAMU Way Corridor, including Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail; and

WHEREAS the Agency retained the services of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to develop an existing condition model for the St. Augustine Branch Ditch and Central Drainage Ditch and to complete the design survey, identify potential utility conflicts, conduct preliminary environmental investigations and prepare the site plan and Coal Chute Pond design, and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has identified the properties necessary for the construction of the pond, as directed, and as revised by further development of the project; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the Project with the design concepts as approved by the Agency, after consideration of public participation at the public meetings, necessitated the acquisition of private property for use in the construction of the stormwater management facility and drainage and utility structures.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY LEON COUNTY – CITY OF TALLAHASSEE BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, that:

Section 1. The Agency hereby determines that the Coal Chute Pond (the Project) regional stormwater facility, located generally in the area of the City of Tallahassee’s Coal Chute Park, lying immediately to the west of Railroad Square, and to the south of the CSX Railroad and to the north of the St. Augustine Branch Ditch represents a valid Agency public purpose.

Section 2. The Agency hereby approves the map of location and the survey identifying the property necessary, a copy of which is on file and available at the
Blueprint Offices, currently located at 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 109, The Koger Center, Ellis Building, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and determines that the right of way depicted and described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto, is necessary for implementation of the Project. The property to be acquired is located within Leon County, State of Florida.

Section 3. The Agency hereby authorizes, empowers and directs Blueprint 2000 and its designees or agents, to acquire by gift, donation, purchase, or by the exercise of the powers of eminent domain a fee simple interest in the property identified on Exhibit “A” as Parcel 10, reserving unto the owner(s) the rights of ingress and egress over said parcel to the remaining property, if any, which rights are not to be inconsistent with the Project.

Section 4. The Agency acknowledges that additional properties may be necessary for the completion of the Project, and that, upon the determination by engineers and surveyors of those additional properties, a resolution shall be obtained to include identification of any such additional necessary properties.

Section 5. The Agency acknowledges that, in the course of implementing the Project, the boundaries of the property identified in Exhibit “A” may differ from that of the property actually acquired because of engineering design changes, negotiated changes resulting in savings in the cost of acquisition, or other such changes made in the best interest of the Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 20000 Intergovernmental Agency, and the Agency agrees that the authority granted by this resolution shall extend to any acquisition of property involving such changes.

Section 6. The Agency’s Legal Counsel is hereby authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings as necessary to complete the acquisition of the parcels as set forth herein by the earliest possible date, which authority shall include signing of the Declaration of Taking and utilization of any and all statutes of the State of Florida applicable thereto, and to compensate the interested parties as required by law.

Section 7. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
INTRODUCED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by Leon County – City of Tallahassee Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency of Leon County, Florida, this ___ day of September 2010.

By: __________________________
    Mark Mustian, Chairman
    Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency

ATTESTED

By: __________________________
    Shelonda Meeks
    Blueprint 2000 Board Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: __________________________
    Debra W. Schiro, Esquire
    Blueprint 2000 Legal Counsel
LEGAL DESCRIPTION
GAP PARCEL 3

A parcel of land lying in the City of Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, being a portion of a tract of land described in Deed Book 2, page 509 of the Public Records of Leon County, Florida, also being a portion of the Northeast 1/4 of Section 2, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the point of intersection of a line being 20 feet West of and parallel to the East line of that certain tract of land described in Deed Book 2, page 509 of the Public Records of Leon County, Florida, with the Southerly right of way of CSX Transportation Railroad (formerly Seaboard Airline Railroad); thence run South 00 degrees 03 minutes 36 seconds West along said parallel line, 338 feet, more or less, to the Northerly right of way of the City Drainage Canal (St. Augustine Branch); thence departing said parallel line, run South 68 degrees 30 minutes 36 seconds West along said Northerly right of way 33 feet, more or less, to the Southeast Corner of that certain tract of land described in Official Record Book 1103, page 596 of said Public Records; thence departing said Northerly right of way, run North 60 degrees 11 minutes 24 seconds West, 185 feet, more or less, to said Southerly Railroad right of way; thence run Northwesterly along said Railroad right of way, 36 feet, more or less; thence departing said Railroad right of way, run South 56 degrees 55 minutes 54 seconds East 203 feet, more or less, to the Northerly boundary of said Drainage Canal and the Point Of Beginning.

Containing: 6232 square feet, 0.14 acres, more or less.
#12.

Cascade Park Update
STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
The purpose of this agenda item is to update the Board on the construction status of Cascade Park.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
The Notice to Proceed was issued to Sandco, Inc. on June 25, and construction has been initiated on the park. Construction is expected to be complete in July 2012.

Park construction will occur in three (3) general phases

Phase I (Lower Park) – July 2010 – February 2011 – (Gadsden Street to South Monroe Street) – construct channel walls/sheet piling in the area near the CSX Railroad trestle; Boca Chuba pond; box culvert and by-pass box culvert up to FDOT parking lot; Cascade Park Lane; FDOT parking lot #47; utility relocations; stream restoration; retaining walls; and irrigation/landscaping.

Phase II (Upper Park) – March 2011 – September 2011 - (Gaines Street to Pensacola) – construct new FDOT parking lot #45 (across Suwannee Street); utility relocations; stream restoration; retaining walls; Smokey Hollow pond, irrigation/landscaping; and close intersection at Franklin Boulevard and Lafayette Street for four months.

Phase III (Middle Park) – October 2011 – March 2012 – (Gaines Street to Gadsden Street) – construct amphitheater, stage and plaza; cascade fountain; interactive fountain; restroom and office; signature bridges; utility relocations; stream restoration; retaining walls; irrigation/landscaping; and gateways.

Public Information Meetings:
Several meetings were held to inform employees working in State offices adjacent to the work site and the general public of the construction schedule, roadway and parking lot closures, and other impacts and issues as needed:
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
No action required, presented as information only.

ATTACHMENT(S):
Construction Photos will be shown at the meeting.
#13.

Capital Cascade Trail - Segments 3 and 4 Design Update
STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
The purpose of this agenda item is to update the Board on the improvements proposed for Segments 3 and 4 of the Capital Cascade Trail, and obtain Board direction related to Blueprint’s design coordination with the FAMU Way project.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
- Blueprint 2000 is moving forward on the design and permitting for the double box culvert between South Monroe Street and South Adams Street; design and permits for the Coal Chute Pond west of Railroad Square (including coordination with the Railroad Square consultants and the City of Tallahassee/CRA) and purchase of right-of-way; and 60% design plans for Pond 5 at the southern end of Capital Cascade Trail – Segment 4. There are 10 parcels required for Coal Chute pond and all offers have been made.
- Blueprint 2000 has authorized the Segment 3 Overall Concept Plan update consistent with the FAMU Way Extension design. The purpose of the update is to incorporate the ditch stabilization and Coal Chute Park Pond improvements that have already been approved by the IA and provide overall concepts for areas within Segment 3 that will be designed under the next phase. The following three (3) alternatives for a portion of Segment 3 between Wahnish Way and Coal Chute Pond, adjacent to Railroad Square will be presented to the IA for direction. Cost comparisons for all three alternatives will also be provided.
  - Option 1 - Brook and Trail
  - Option 2 - Trail without Brook
  - Option 3 - A hybrid of Option 2 which includes some water feature(s) using potable water
- The City Commission has recommended that the St. Augustine Branch (SAB) be placed within a box culvert along the FAMU Way extension west of Wahnish Way and not incorporate the “brook” at grade. Other sections of the SAB east of Wahnish Way will also be placed in a box culvert.
- As part of the Segment 3 Overall Concept Plan Update, Blueprint 2000 has authorized an update to the Capital Cascade Trail Stormwater Model Master Plan. This task includes evaluating the available models in the watershed and compiling them into one complete existing conditions XP-SWMM model for the watershed. This overall existing
conditions model will then be used to evaluate the approved and proposed improvements in Segments 1-4.

OPTIONS
Option 1:
1. Approval to not incorporate the “brook” Option in Segment 3 between Wahnish Way and Coal Chute Pond.

Advantages:
   • Reduces design costs associated with developing and evaluating the project options.
   • Consistent Public Message – the brook and trail Option was presented to the public during the numerous FAMU Way Extension public meetings and was not supported by the public.
   • Consistent with the City Commission – As part of the FAMU Way Extension PD&E Approval, the City Commission recommended the St. Augustine Branch (SAB) be placed within a box culvert along the FAMU Way extension west of Wahnish Way and not incorporate the “brook” at grade.

Disadvantages:
   • None

Option 2: Board Guidance

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Option 1:
1. Approval to not incorporate the “brook” Option in Segment 3 between Wahnish Way and Coal Chute Pond.

Action by the CAC:
The CAC generally supported eliminating the “brook” option from further consideration. The CAC acknowledged Option 3 – Hybrid Option, which will consider various water features/amenities that utilize potable water, was an excellent alternative to the brook option.

ATTACHMENT(S):
Attachment 1: Brook and trail graphic
Attachment 2: Trail without brook graphic
Attachment 3: Examples of water features
Attachment 4: Pond 5 at southern end of Segment 4
Capital Cascade Trail

FAMU Way & Capital Cascade Segment 3 Improvements

BLUEPRINT 2000 AND BEYOND
Tallahassee, Florida

CONCEPT 1

Attachment 1
Capital Cascade Trail

FAMU Way & Capital Cascade Segment 3 Improvements
Attachment 3: Examples of Water Features (potable water): Chattanooga
Capital Cascade Trail
Pond 5 Study - Alt. 4
Preserved Canopy / New Freshwater Marsh
#14.

FY 2009
Performance Evaluation
SUBJECT/TITLE: Fiscal Year 2009 Performance Evaluation

Date: September 20, 2010
Requested By: IMC
Contact Person: Phil Maher
Type of Item: Discussion

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
This item informs the Board of the results of the FY 2009 Performance Evaluation of the Blueprint Program.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
Blueprint has received the Performance Evaluation covering fiscal year 2009. The report indicated that Blueprint 2000 is meeting its program goals, and has continued to have a high level approval from community officials. The assessment also indicates satisfaction with the management and the operations of Blueprint as well as keeping the public informed.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Review and provide comments on the FY 2009 Performance Audit.

ATTACHMENT(S):
Attachment 1: FY 2009 Performance Audit.
Annual Performance Audit
Fiscal Year 2008 - 2009

Submitted By:

The Florida Center for Prevention Research
Office of Emerging Issues & Assessment
Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida
July 15, 2010

Blueprint 2000
Intergovernmental Agency
1311 Executive Center Drive
Suite 109
The Koger Center, Ellis Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

To Whom it May Concern:

The Florida Center for Prevention Research is pleased to provide this year’s copy of the Blueprint 2000 Satisfaction Audit based on interviews and review of activities from the 2008-2009 fiscal year. I would like to thank the many public officials who took the time to talk to the interview team. Due to their input this is a report rooted in their respected judgments’ indicative of what a solid job the Blueprint 2000 team is doing. Again and again we heard how they were setting the standard of completing projects “On time and on budget.”

If you have any questions or concerns about the findings of this report or how the data was collected please do not hesitate to contact me at shelzer@fsu.edu.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven G. Brooks
Director, FCPR

Dr. Scott Helzer
Program Manager, FCPR
COMPREHENSIVE
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
BLUEPRINT 2000

For The Fiscal Year Ending
September 30, 2009

PREPARED BY:
Florida Center for Prevention Research
Emerging Issues & Assessment
Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida
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Blueprint 2000

The Organization

Blueprint 2000, with its multi-disciplinary staff is responsible for financing, planning, development and construction of the projects authorized by the Intergovernmental Agency (IA). Many of the projects are described in the report titled, *Blueprint 2000 and Beyond*, which was developed by the Economic and Environmental Consensus Committee (EECC), a diverse group of citizens representing community, local business, and environmental interests. The executive team for Blue Print 2000 is comprised of Jim Davis who continues as Executive director, Phil Maher as Financial Manager, David Bright as Chief Planner, Shelonda Meeks with Administrative Staff, and Debra Schiro with Legal Counsel.

A number of advisory groups provide input to Blueprint 2000. The Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) is a thirteen member advisory group that reviews work plans, audits and evaluations, and makes recommendations to the IA. The Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) provides technical expertise relating to project scope and implementation plans. The TCC also provides coordination among government departments for projects and related issues and reviews other city and county projects to ensure coordination between governments. The IA has also established the Financial Advisory Committee, which reviews financial issues in detail and make recommendations to the full IA.

Purpose, Mission and Goals

Blueprint 2000's overall responsibility is to: (1) Implement holistic and coordinated planning, (2) preserve, protect, and enhance the community's quality of life through development of specific joint local government projects concerning transportation, water quality, environment and green spaces, and (3) apply sound funding and resource management practices that provide a cost efficient and effective use of funds through the application of financial tools and business practices. Blueprint 2000 has developed the following mission statement to accomplish these goals:

"To implement the Blueprint 2000 Program in a timely and cost effective manner, utilizing sound but innovative business practices, while keeping the citizenry informed and involved."

Five primary evaluative areas were identified for performing the assessment and reporting the results. They are as follows:

1. Preserving, protecting, and enhancing the community's quality of life.
2. Seeking and obtaining additional funds from various government and private entities.
3. Using sound, but innovative business practices.
4. Keeping the citizenry informed and involved.
5. Effective and efficient operations.
Annual Summary of Project Activity

BP2K FY 08/09 Project Reports

N1 - Capital Circle NW – I-10 to US 90

Project Description:
This 1.991-mile roadway project (FPID: 219722-1-52-01 & 02) consists of upgrading the existing undivided rural facility to a six-lane divided urban (curb and gutter) facility. Upgrades to the West Tennessee, Tharpe, Hartsfield and Commonwealth intersections are included. The purpose of the roadway improvement project is to increase the capacity and safety of the existing highway. The roadway project was designed, let and constructed by the Florida Department of Transportation, with advance funding provided by the Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency.

Project Status:
The CCNW-N1 Roadway Improvement Project was Final Accepted by FDOT on September 4, 2007.

Blueprint 2000 has recently awarded a separate 180-Day Park Amenities and Landscaping Contract within the same project limits. The Plans were developed by Moore-Bass Consulting. Blueprint 2000 has secured permits from COT Growth Management and FDOT.


The project was Final Accepted by Blueprint 2000 on June 26th, 2009; the Final Offer of Payment was accepted and executed by the Contractor on July 31, 2009. 145 of 185 Allowable Contract Days were used on this Contract, or 78.3% of allowable Contract Time. The Final Contract Amount was $1,055,653.37.

Blueprint 2000 has generated and distributed the Final Estimate, Final As-Built Plans and Permits, and related close out materials and submitted to Leon County, FDOT and the COT as applicable.

Right of Way:
All parcels closed. Final Right-of-Way costs $46,374,947.
Project Description:
The Expanded Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study limits for this 2.9 mile project extend from Tennessee Street (US 90/SR 10) to Orange Avenue (SR 371). The purpose of this roadway improvement project is to increase the capacity and safety of the existing highway by expanding the existing two-lane undivided rural roadway to a six-lane divided urban (curb and gutter) facility. New traffic signals will be provided at Orange Avenue, Blountstown Highway and Gum Road Intersections. The project also includes significant landscaping as well as pedestrian, bicycle and recreational amenities. This project will develop final design plans for construction.

Project Status:
Phase IV (100%) plans have been submitted and are currently under review. Right-of-Way acquisition, utility coordination and environmental permitting activities continue.

COMPLETED: Continued to work with Blueprint 2000's Right-of-Way acquisition team to resolve any new Right-of-Way issues, such as the revised driveway location at Parcel 128. Continued updating plans and responding to design and constructability review comments on the Phase IV (100%) roadway, structures, signing/marking, signalization, lighting and landscape plans. Continued coordination with Leon County, FDEP and the Corps of Engineers on permitting; Met with FDEP regarding the process/requirements for partial release of the Gum Swamp conservation easement; Submitted EIA/EMP application to Leon County Growth Management. Continued coordination with Leon County School Board on proposed bus facility master transportation plan and coordination with Capital Circle improvements. Preparing proposal for alternative bidding option due to budget constraints. Continued working with utility companies/agencies to complete utility work schedules. Met with COT underground utility department to discuss and coordinate their proposed sewer Master Plan improvements; provided plans, CADD files, and R/W maps for their use in developing Utility Contract Plans to "go with" the CCNW/SW-N2 construction. Completed Blueprint and FDOT reviews of the Phase IV (100%) plans; City and County reviews underway.

TO BE COMPLETED: Complete City and County reviews of the Phase IV (100%) plans. Continue responding to design and constructability review comments on the Phase IV (100%) plans and development of Final Plans. Continue coordination activities with Leon County Growth Management on EIA/EMP, and respond to RAI's as necessary. Continue coordination activities and follow up with FDEP and Corps of Engineers on the Wetland Resource Permit; Continue working with FDEP on preparation of the conservation easements. Complete the project utility coordination activities and development of Utility Work Schedules. Finalize and submit proposal for alternative bidding option.

Right of Way:
Of the 88 parcels needed: 64 in possession, 13 agreements secured but not closed, 0 donated, 11 negotiating/preparing for suit.
W1 - Capital Circle SW – Crawfordville Road to SR 20

Project Description:
A Project Development and Environmental (PD&E) Study will be conducted for that portion of Capital Circle (SR 263) between Crawfordville Road (SR 61 US 319) and Blountstown Highway (SR 20) a distance of approximately 6.7 miles. The PD&E study will be conducted in accordance with Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Blueprint 2000 Agency, and Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) guidelines. The study will evaluate alternative alignments as well as the existing alignment. Several viable alternatives will be developed and evaluated in order to address the project needs while taking into account the Blueprint 2000 & Beyond “Project Definitions Report”, prepared by the Economic and Environmental Consensus Committee in 1999, and the Lake Bradford Sector Plan, conducted by the Tallahassee/Leon County Planning Department.

Project Status:
Kimley-Horn and Associates began the Capital Circle Southwest PD&E study in late August, 2006. The Public and Agency Kickoff Meetings were conducted in October, 2006. Six (6) Community Forum Meetings were conducted concluding with a Town Hall Meeting held in April 2007. The Concept Charrettes were held on May 10th and 12th, 2007. The Intergovernmental Agency approved the evaluation criteria and the criteria weighting that will be used to evaluate the alternative alignments. An Interim Public Meeting was held on November 6, 2007 to present the status of the project since the Concepts Charette. Seventeen (17) Community Representatives meetings have been held through the month of July 2009. The project has begun the analysis phase using the alternative alignments established through the Concept Charette process. An Alternatives Public Meeting was held on November 13, 2008 to present the results of the evaluations conducted for the alternative alignments within the four (4) corridor segments. Based on the results of the analyses and input received from the public at the Alternatives Meeting, recommendations were made regarding the best alternative for each segment and these recommendations were approved by the Intergovernmental Agency on February 23, 2009. The approved segment solutions have been combined to form an Existing Alignment (Widening) Alternative and a Realignment Alternative. These two "Build" alternatives have been evaluated, along with the "No-Build" alternative, using the 13 evaluation criteria and associated weightings established by the IA. The results of these evaluations were presented to the public at the Preferred Alternatives Meeting on August 13, 2009.


**E3 - Capital Circle SE – Woodville Highway to Crawfordville Road**

*Project Description:*
This project proposes to widen approximately 1.53 miles of the existing two-lane Capital Circle SE from Woodville Highway to Crawfordville Road to a multi-lane urban facility.

FDOT Roadway ID: 55002000 SR 263 from MP 0.200 to MP 1.730

In 2006/2007, URS prepared 60% design plans for Blueprint at a cost of $996,151.54. URS had an MBE participation of 16%. The preparation of 60% design plans was part of Blueprint’s strategy to be ready for construction if funding became available.

*Project Status:*
As of August 2009, Blueprint has begun the process of selecting a Design-Build team to construct interim improvements from west of Woodville Highway to east of Crawfordville Road. Blueprint will not execute a contract for this project/work unless Federal stimulus money is appropriated.

A Request for Qualifications was issued for the Design-Build project and five (5) teams submitted the required information. The selection process is on hold until Federal stimulus money is allocated to the project.

Blueprint staff has been waiting for confirmation from FDOT on the amount of stimulus funding to be allocated for this project.

*Right of Way:*
Right-of-Way maps are at 100%. Blueprint is in the position to begin acquiring right-of-way as funding becomes available.

The Highway Easement Deed for Parcel 800 was issued by the Federal Highway Administration to the Florida Department of Transportation on January 16, 2009.
E2 - Capital Circle SE – Woodville Highway to Tram Road

Project Description:
This project proposes to widen approximately 2.3 miles of the existing two-lane Capital Circle SE from Woodville Highway to Tram Road to an ultimate six-lane facility, mileposts 0.0 to 2.12. In addition, a transitional segment west of Woodville Highway (SR 263 MP 0.0 to approximately MP 0.2). The purpose of the roadway improvement project is to increase the capacity and safety of the existing highway. New traffic signal will be installed at access to Lakes at San Marcos development on Woodville Highway, south of CCSE. Provisions for future traffic signals will be provided at three intersections: Paul Russell Road, Southchase Boulevard and SouthWood Marketplace Drive to proposed developments on the north side of CCSE, between Woodville Highway and Tram Road. The project includes significant landscaping as well as pedestrian, bicycle and recreational amenities.

Project Status:
The Design-Build Contract was executed on 6/05/07. Utility kick-off meeting was held on 6/27/07. The Preconstruction Conference and the Public Meeting were held on 7/24/07. A Joint Project Agreement (JPA) was entered into by St. Joe Company, City of Tallahassee and Blueprint 2000 on 7/31/07. Per terms of this JPA, easements for five drainage ponds and Right-of-Way donated to the project by St. Joe were obtained from St. Joe. Notice to Proceed was issued to the Design-Build Team on 8/28/07 and the Ground Breaking Ceremony was held on 8/29/07.

The National Features Inventory (NFI) report was approved by the COT Growth Management. The COT Growth Management issued the Environmental Impact Assessment exemption (EIA short form B (low)) for Segments 1 and 3A in October 2007. The EIA for Segment 2 was approved by COT Growth Management on 12/18/07. The Clearing and Grubbing Permit, including "sediment sumps" located at the future storm water pond sites, and fill stockpiling permit, were issued by Growth Management for Segments 1 and 3A. The clearing permit for Segment 2 was issued on 1/17/08, with the exception of Pond 600. The clearing permit for Pond 600 was obtained in March 2008. The Storm water Permit was received from the Department of Environmental Protection. The EMP permit for Segment 1B was obtained from COT Growth Management on 3/24/08, for Segment 1A on 6/27/08 and for Segment 3A on 8/28/08. The EIA for Segment 3B was approved by Growth Management on 5/08/08. The clearing and grubbing permit for Segment 3B was obtained on 7/11/08. The EMP permit application for Segment 2 was issued by GM on 12/19/08. Lighting plans are complete and were released for construction on 2/17/09. Roadway plans were released for construction for Segment 2. Segment 1B EMP permit update for Southchase Boulevard and Marketplace Drive intersections was obtained on 4/07/09. Blueprint reviewed final Traffic Signals plans for Woodville Highway, Southchase Boulevard and Sembler access road and released them for construction on 6/15/09. The EMP permit update for Pond 300 conveyance was obtained on 6/28/09. The EMP permit for Pond 3B was obtained on 7/10/09. The Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Plans for the entire project were reviewed by Blueprint and released for construction on 7/17/09.

The Electrical Resistivity Imaging (ERI) testing is complete for Pond 200, Pond 300 conveyance easement and Pond 600. The ERI test results at Pond 200 and Pond 600, and the soil borings at Pond 600 indicated that both ponds' sites are acceptable for pond locations, and no further investigations are recommended. Excavation of the "sedimentation sumps" is near completion at the site of Pond 600. Karst investigations at Ponds 100 and 100A are complete. Additional borings were advanced at Pond 100A, and mitigation alternatives were prepared for that pond. Karst investigations were conducted at Segment 3A sinkhole and additional borings were advanced to establish the need for mitigation measures. The final report, including recommendations, was submitted to Blueprint on 7/17/09. Blueprint is coordinating with FDOT regarding the mitigation to be undertaken for the sinkhole. Preliminary karst features investigations were conducted near the depression in the existing road in Segment 1A on 8/21/09.
Utility relocation schedules were prepared and signed by the Utility Companies. Utility relocation work has been in progress since the beginning of February 2008. Most of the relocations and adjustments are done. Installation of potable water line is complete in Segments 3A, 1A, 1B and 2. Sanitary sewer lines are complete on Segment 2. Final utility relocations and adjustments are in progress in Segment 3B. Additional sanitary sewer work has been initiated near the Woodville Highway intersection (12 inch "bleed-off").

Clearing and grubbing is complete on all Segments. Upon receipt of the EMP permits for Segments 3A, 1A, 1B and 2, installation of drainage pipes and structures on eastbound lanes in these Segments is complete. The embankment, concrete curbs, limestone base course and structural asphalt have been placed on the eastbound lanes of these Segments.

Temporary traffic signal installation at the Lakes at San Marcos intersection on Woodville Highway is complete. The relocation of the interconnect cable is complete. Work on the temporary traffic signal for MOT at the Woodville Highway intersection has started.

The Dispute Resolution Board (DRB) meeting was held on 8/20/09.

COMPLETED: Installed parts of drainage system on CCSE eastbound lanes in Segment 3B, west of Woodville Highway. Graded and stabilized CCSE eastbound lanes west of Woodville Hwy, placed outside curb and lime rock base course. Continued implementation of Blueprint comments on 100% complete roadway plans and drainage design. Continued design revisions and coordination of drainage requirements with Leon County, Blueprint and FDOT for Brent Pond and Woodville Highway intersection area. Continued landscaping on Segments 1A, 1B and 2. Installed temporary traffic signal pole at CCSE and Woodville Highway intersection. Continued final grading and sodding of Ponds 200 and 300 and grading of Pond 300 conveyance easement, installed elements of the closed drainage system on the east side of Woodville Highway, south of CCSE. Conducted preliminary karst features investigation in Segment 1A near the depression in the existing road (Sta 1159+35).

TO BE COMPLETED: Conduct CEI progress and utility meeting, DRB meeting and design progress meeting. Provide responses to Blueprint comments on 100% complete plans for Segment 3B and submit RFC plans for that Segment. Continue landscaping on Segments 1A, 1B and 2. Continue construction of Ponds 100 and 100A in Segment 3B. Start installation of sanitary sewer "bleed-off" in Segment 3B. Continue drainage pipes and structures installation in Segment 3B. Complete MOT transition on the east end of the project and switch traffic to eastbound lanes. Place structural asphalt on CCSE eastbound lanes west of Woodville intersection and place pavement markings for switching of traffic. Install temporary traffic signal at CCSE and Woodville Hwy intersection and switch traffic to CCSE eastbound lanes at the end of September.

Right of Way:
Blueprint is in possession of all parcels. Two parcels are in litigation.
Project Description:
The proposed 3.44-mile project along Capital Circle Southeast (US 319/SR261) extends from south of Tram Road to Connie Drive (milepost 1.931 and 5.371) in Leon County and includes expanding the existing two-lane undivided rural roadway to a six-lane divided urban (curb and gutter) facility. The purpose of the roadway improvement project is to increase the capacity and safety of the existing highway. New traffic signals, including ITS features, will be provided at six intersections: Tram Road, Shumard Oak Boulevard, Merchants Row Drive, Blair Stone Road, Orange Avenue and Old St. Augustine Road. The project also includes significant landscaping as well as pedestrian, bicycle and recreational amenities.

Project Status:
Blueprint 2000 issued Final Acceptance Letter for this project on 6/16/08.

The total contract duration was 1253 calendar days.

The final construction cost was $37,715,142.

Right of Way:
Blueprint is in possession of all parcels. One parcel is still in litigation.
**C2-Capital Cascade Trail-Segment 2**

*Project Description:*
Within the Capital Cascade Trail, Segment 2 is from Lafayette Street to South Monroe Street.

*Project Status:*
Letter of Authorization #5 and #6 were executed on March 9 and May 30, 2006, respectively. The two LOAs have been combined under Segment 2 Design and Permitting task for a total cost of $2,399,652.27. Supplemental Agreement #1 was executed on September 18, 2007 for a total of $1,105,479.33. Supplemental Agreement #2 was issued on December 15, 2008 for a total of $366,216.49.

The new total contract amount is $3,871,348.09.

**COMPLETED:** Reviewed Statement of Qualifications and will shortlist at least three (3) Contractors on September 17, 2009. Submitted second round of review comments on 90% re-submittal. Submitted responses to review comments from COT on Environmental Management Permit. Submitted responses to FDEP's comments on Individual Storm water Permit. Met and received conceptual approval from FDEP on remediation plan including the potential to permanently remove several sites from Institutional Controls/Restrictive Covenants. Submitted Environmental Construction Management Plan (ECMP) to USEPA for review and approval for Area of Concerns 1-3. Submitted two utility connection permits to FDOT Midway Office for review and approval. Submitted Management and Storage of Surface Waters (MSSW) permit to NWFWMD for review and approval. Submitted Consumptive Use Permit to NWFWMD for review and approval. Scheduled Meeting with DMS to review transformer bank relocation and status of lease request. Prepared piping permit for re-use water line to be submitted to CSX for review and approval.

**TO BE COMPLETED:** Submit 100% plans and specifications for review. Complete donor website to showcase and sell park amenities including the brick engraving Program. Continue to respond to permit Request for Additional Information. Submit remaining FDOT Permit Applications (two driveway, two drainage and one utility). Continue coordination with COT Electric and Gas. Continue coordination with CSX on Construction Agreement. Continue coordination with COT Underground Utilities on Letter Agreement. Submit Wastewater Permit Application to FDEP.

*Right of Way:*
DEP TIITF Lease Agreement has been executed with an effective date of September 1, 2008.
C3 & C4 - Capital Cascade Trail – Segments 3 and 4 Design

Project Description:
Phase 2 tasks have been authorized and include the following major elements:
Design and permitting for ditch lining between South Monroe Street and Adams Street
Design and permitting for Coal Chute Pond
60% Construction documents for Black Swamp Nature Park

Project Status:
Blueprint is working with the City Economic Development Office on the design, right-of-way acquisition and construction phases for Coal Chute Pond located in Segment 3 between the CSX Railroad, the St. Augustine Branch ditch and Railroad Square. The City is looking for locations that will provide storm water treatment opportunities for Gaines Street and Railroad Square Redevelopment. COT is preparing an Economic Development Administration Grant Application to fund the design and construction of Coal Chute Pond. Blueprint has identified eight parcels that need to be acquired in order to construct the Coal Chute Pond.

The Phase 1A tasks have been completed and the major tasks included topographic and utility surveys, model construction and existing environmental conditions such as the natural features inventory and permitability assessment.


TO BE COMPLETED: Continue geotechnical borings in ditch lining area and Coal Chute Park and prepare interim report for use in initial design. Initiate contamination assessment at Coal Chute Pond. Prepare and submit request for inclusion of ditch lining project in City fast-track permitting process. Prepare and submit Coal Chute Pond Land Use Compliance Certificate. Begin design discussions regarding ditch lining options. Continue 30% design of ditch lining. Continue 30% design of Coal Chute Pond.
Other Events/Awards/Ceremonies/Press Releases of Notice:

12/16/2008
The Institute of Transportation Engineers presents Blueprint 2000 “The Organization of the Year Award”
The Blueprint 2000 team including staff, the General Engineering Consultants (GEC) and various in-house consultants, today received The Organization of the Year Award from The Big Bend Florida Chapter of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). ITE is an international educational and scientific association of transportation professionals who are responsible for meeting mobility and safety needs. Executive Director, Jim Davis and Program Manager, David Snyder were honored to receive the award on behalf of Blueprint 2000. To learn more about ITE, please visit them on the web at, www.ite.org.

The award was presented by ITE President, David Bright, and President-Elect Ryan Wetherell of the Big Bend Chapter. Mr. Wetherell congratulated Blueprint 2000, saying The Big Bend Florida Chapter of the Institute of Transportation Engineers is pleased to present the 2008 Organization of the Year Award to Blueprint 2000 for their contribution to the chapter and for their dedication to advancing and improving the transportation facilities in Tallahassee and Leon County.

06/01/2009
Martha Wellman’s Life is Celebrated at Park Dedication Ceremony
Blueprint 2000 and Leon County officials will gather on Monday, June 1st at 9:30 a.m., along with family, friends and colleagues for the dedication of the “Martha Wellman Park”. The Martha Wellman Park is located on West Tennessee Street west of Capital Circle NW (5317 West Tennessee Street). This project creates a 4,000 foot long multi-use trail around the 15-acre storm water pond, of which 70 percent of its capacity is for retrofit treatment. The park will also include recycled benches and receptacles along the trail, lighting and significant landscaping. It will be a wonderful addition to the west side of town and honors all the things Martha stood for and implements fully, the holistic philosophy of the Blueprint 2000 authors. In addition, this location is within the Lake Munson Drainage Basin, which was a particular passion of Martha.

07/16/2009
Blueprint 2000 Assists in SciGirls Camp for Second Year
Blueprint 2000 will assist the SciGirls Camp on Storm water Improvements Wednesday, July 15, 2009 and Thursday, July 16, 2009 from 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. SciGirls Camp is for girls in sixth through tenth grade.
Summary of Interviews

Interviews were conducted by Dr. Scott Helzer and Mr. Lee Howell. The interview questions were developed from the Blueprint 2000 mission statement and its stated goals. The responses were grouped by question and then summarized to include the content of each response.

Audit of Performance Satisfaction

Based on interview participant responses, the community officials and consultants that work with Blueprint, the community is very pleased with Blueprint 2000’s accomplishments for the 2008-09 time period. The Blueprint 2000 team and its organizational structure have been and continue to be ranked best in class as an Intergovernmental Agency. They have a dedicated staff that works great as a team; many praises were offered for the outstanding leadership of Jim Davis. Blueprint has also been effective with their interactions, coordination, and collaboration with the city and county staff, CRTPA, and FDOT, as well as with the CAC and the TCC.

Blueprint uses a careful approach and very well thought out process when making decisions; they use sound, innovative business practices which demonstrate timely, efficient, and effective operations. They ensure that priority projects (Tier 1) are pursued and that money is not diverted to lower Tier projects. They also use the established protocols when the need arises to change the priorities on the Tier 1 and Tier 2 projects list. When it comes to funding, Blueprint 2000 is very successful in their approach as they aggressively seek and obtain matching funds from state and federal programs. Their ability to leverage community funds with state and federal funds to acquire additional funding is one of their greatest strengths; they leveraged over $100 million to offset local revenue shortfalls. Another noted strength is their ability to get projects done on time and within budget.

Blueprint’s completed and projected projects have been highly effective in reducing traffic congestion by improving local and state roads while also reducing storm water and flooding potentials. They have also been highly effective in protecting lakes and drinking water while expanding natural areas, parks, and recreational facilities.

Blueprint has been and continues to be as one participant stated at a 10 on the ten point scale when it comes to keeping the public informed and involved through their website, articles, news releases, ceremonies, and public appearances. The participants indicated a high degree of satisfaction with the management of projects and the operations of Blueprint. The consensus agreed that transportation is better today because of Blueprint 2000. Blueprint has become a sense of pride for the community as a whole.

Although Blueprint already makes a positive impression on the community, there are several suggestions for improvement that could make an even bigger impact and possibly gain greater support and involvement from the community: 1) Obtaining more money to get projects in waiting started and completed faster; 2) strengthening of communication networks to optimize efficiencies within the RFP process; 3) putting additional emphasis on a more efficient filing system; 4) working more closely with the city and county staff to determine how long range planning may affect current projects; 5) providing additional emphasis on storm water management; 6) public displays of the impressive presentations that are used in committee meetings (toot their horn); and 7) providing more public outreach and education about the Blueprint process.

In summation, Blueprint 2000 continues as a highly effective organization that is making a positive impact on the community with their sound business and management practices while keeping the public informed. They have been extremely successful in obtaining additional funding while completing
projects on time and within budget. Transportation in Tallahassee and Leon County is better today because of Blueprint 2000. Anthony Robbins an advisor to several U.S. presidents stated, “If you want to be successful, find someone who has achieved the results you want and copy what they do and you'll achieve the same results.” As a mark of Blueprint’s success several cities have come to Tallahassee to study the Blueprint model and to replicate it in their own communities. Blueprint stands out as a bright and shining star of success vested in the people, by the people, and for the people of Tallahassee.
Attachment One

Interview Responses by Question
BP2K Interview Responses 2008/2009

Key:

1. Very poorly or very ineffective
2. Poorly or ineffective
3. Average
4. Above average or effective
5. Excellent or very effective

1. Based on your observations has Blueprint 2000 continued to comply with the initial enabling ballot language?
   a. Yes.
   b. Yes, mostly. There is a tendency to favor road way projects over storm water and green way projects.
   c. I think so.
   d. Yes for enabling projects but no for policies; some of the policies have never been implemented into codes.
   e. Yes.
   f. Yes 5.
   g. Yes.
   h. Yes.
   i. Yes.
   j. Yes 5.
   k. Yes, as in all previous years Blueprint 2000 continues to be compliant with the enabling ballot language.
   l. Yes.

2. Is the current Blueprint 2000 organizational structure effective? On a scale of 1(low)-5 (high).
   a. Yes, 2.5.
   b. Yes, I think the governance is pretty adequate.
   c. I do, they are very well organized (5)
   d. Yes.
   e. Yes, it meets the requirements.
   f. 4.5.
   g. Yes.
   h. Yes.
   i. Yes, I believe so. I went before the board everything seems to function well.
   j. 5.
   k. Yes, based on the mission Blueprint 2000 is well organized and very effective.
1. Developers and staff made errors in issuing RFPs.

3. Are the established protocols providing adequate information for the review of Blueprint 2000 project spending?
   
   a. Yes.
   
   b. It’s good (rate 5).
   
   c. Yes. We have developed relationships, procedures and protocols were ok, and we all learned from each other and how to advance to project through the construction. So the process continues to develop and improve and become more efficient.
   
   d. Yes, they’ve done a very good job.
   
   e. Yes.
   
   f. Yes.
   
   g. Yes.
   
   h. Yes.
   
   i. Yes.
   
   j. 5.
   
   k. Yes, the meetings of the Intergovernmental Agency, the monthly Production Reports, and the Master Plan provide an excellent system to monitor spending. Additionally the city maintains the accounting records for Blueprint, which are available if requested.
   
   l. Yes.

4. Has Blueprint 2000 continued to coordinate and plan in a holistic manner programs that address such issues as storm water, water quality, environmental, and transportation?

   a. Yes.
   
   b. Yes, but there’s not a lot of planning going on because projects are in the queue. We have a lot of unmet needs for conservation land purchases and so forth. I wish that Capital Cascade Greenway would be accelerated, because that’s the real transformational project of Blueprint. I wouldn’t mind postponing some road way projects and reprogramming the money for CC Greenway. I like the governance of it and it’s one of the strength and the fact that we have a separate staff dedicated to Blueprint.
   
   c. They are adequate (rate 4).
   
   d. Yes.
   
   e. Yes.
   
   f. Yes.
   
   g. Yes.
   
   h. Yes.
   
   i. Yes, they have to meet the same requirements that we do.
   
   j. Yes.
   
   k. Yes, the holistic approach is ongoing as identified in the current Cascade Trail design process.
   
   l. Yes, very well.
5. From your interactions with Blueprint 2000 are efforts coordinated with the planning phases of economic development & sector planning?

a. For the period in question yes, but for the future I believe they can improve by working more closely with the city and county staff to see what is in their plans. We do our sector planning, the Tallahassee Chamber, not sure about the other.
b. I don’t really get involved in that so I can’t really give you an answer.
c. Yes. (4)
d. Yes, not tied into all that.
e. Yes. (4)
f. Yes. (4)
g. Yes. (4)
h. As far as I know, they are. All checks and balances are in place and well used.
i. Yes. (4)
j. Yes, I know that Blueprint routinely coordinates with CRA and the Planning Dept.
k. Yes, well coordinated. (5)
l. NC

6. How effective do you feel Blueprint 2000 is as an Intergovernmental Agency?

a. Yes.
b. 5.
c. 4, but I have limited exposure.
d. 5.
e. 5.
f. 5+.
g. 5 Very Effective; Jim does a great job!
h. Yes.
i. 4, they function very well.
j. 5.
k. Very effective, it has been used as a model for other communities.
l. Vendor issues. Discussing issues more thoroughly to improve communication and reduce protests for mistakes made.
7. How effective is the interaction of Blueprint 2000 with the CAC and TCC?

a. I don’t know about the Citizens Advisory Council, but with the TCC their interaction is effective but the recommendations don’t seem to be utilized. It’s good.
b. Yes, based on the committee I served on. I was impressed with the way the process ran.
c. Very Effective.
d. 5; but I can’t speak for CAC.
e. Very Effective.
f. Effective.
g. Yes.
h. I don’t know of any shortcomings, so yes.
i. Yes 5.
j. I believe that the TCC is also effective but most of the staff communication and collaboration is done on a project staff level not the committee.
k. Very good.
l. NC

8. How well has Blueprint 2000 demonstrated its Decision-making authority?

a. Yes.
b. Most of the basic decisions are already made, it’s just a matter of allocating declining revenues, that’s the challenge. Just coming up with the money to purchase right-of-way, etc… is a challenge because sales revenues have been declining compared to previous estimates.
c. Yes, I don’t see any problems.
d. Very Well.
e. 4.
f. Yes.
g. Yes.
h. Yes.
i. I believe they do. Yes.
j. 5.
k. This is a strong point. We have delegated the authority to the Intergovernmental Management committee and Director to make timely decisions that have accelerated our projects. The IA clearly acts as a policy board.
l. Yes, except the protest problems and contract award—needs improvement.
9. Is the length of time required by Blueprint 2000 to make decisions acceptable?

a. Yes.
b. Yes.
c. It’s a careful approach and is well thought out.
d. 70% better than city and county.
e. Yes.
f. Yes.
g. Yes.
h. Yes.
i. Yes,
j. 5.
k. As mentioned above, Yes. Blueprint anticipates decisions well in advance and requests authority from the IA before a decision needs to be made. This clearly ensures that projects are not delayed pending decision by the IA.
l. Yes.

10. Is the effectiveness and productivity of Blueprint 2000 adequate in relation to coordination and collaboration with City and County staff, Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency (CRTPA), Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), and other affected parties and organizations?

a. No, (when asked if that reduces their effectiveness, he responded: ) it creates conflicts
b. The coordination of the first three is pretty good; with FDOT, it’s not good enough. There’s a fundamental bias with District 3 DOT; DOT districts have a great deal of autonomy, so many of their consultants live there and they don’t appreciate urban transportation and that comes out in what their tendency to look at motorist safety and not bicycle, etc… safety. Their designs are outdated, concept of complete streets they don’t seem to be aware of, although headquarters support’s it, but it just isn’t filtered down.
c. I’m not the best person to answer that. But they have been effective with issues that I dealt with them on.
d. Yes.
e. Yes.
f. Yes.
g. Yes.
h. Yes.
i. Yes, but there are some additional coordination could be better. It’s more of a checks and balance, if the department had talked with them we could have guided them in a different direction and eliminated an issue (communication breakdown).
j. Yes, 5.
k. Yes, Blueprint has demonstrated its desire and ability to coordinate with other agencies and staffs. This collaboration produces mutually beneficial projects for all concerned.
l. Yes.
11. Are Blueprint 2000 agenda items properly presented to all related committees?

a. Yes.
b. I think so.
c. Yes.
d. Yes.
e. Yes.
f. Yes.
g. Yes.
h. Yes, but I only see CACs.
i. Yes.
j. Yes – 4 – IA committee (Anita’ group), hard to get Anita and PA in a room together.
k. Yes, subject to the requirements of the bylaws and desires of the committees.
l. Yes.

12. Based on your perception, did the community support/involvement for Blueprint 2000 in the past year?

a. Yes.
b. Yes. They seem to be pleased with the way things are going.
c. The impression is that people are happy with it.
d. Yes, about the same as last year.
e. Yes.
f. Yes, about the same as last year.
g. Yes, more than last year.
h. Yes, as far as I know.
i. Yes, they hold a number of public meetings; they’ve been more successful than we have been.
j. Yes 5.
k. Absolutely, I believe that Blueprint is a source of pride for the community as a whole.
l. Yes.

13. How effective has Blueprint 2000 been at keeping the public informed and involved?

a. Yes.
b. Yes.
c. Yes, I like the signs they put up.
d. Very effective.
e. Very effective.
f. 5.
g. Effective.
h. Yes.
i. Yes.
j. 5.
k. I believe that all reasonable efforts to keep the public informed have been made. Blueprint’s public involvement office ensures that information is available to the public via their web site, articles, news releases, ceremonies, and public appearances.
1. 5 Effective.

14. How effective has Blueprint 2000 been at seeking and obtaining matching funds from state and federal programs?
   a. Yes, very effective.
   b. Yes, very effective.
   c. Yes, it’s adequate.
   d. Very Effective.
   e. Very proactive.
   f. 5.
   g. Yes, very effective.
   h. Extremely effective.
   i. They are very aggressive when it comes to seeking out funds, regardless of the source. They assisted us in getting funds as well.
   j. 5 out of 5.
   k. The fact that Blueprint has leverages over $100M speaks for itself.
   l. 5 – Very effective, worked hard.

15. As an Intergovernmental Agency what are Blueprint 2000’s strengths for the past year (October, 2008 to September, 2009)?
   a. Their PR work in the community strength.
   b. Having a dedicated staff whose sole purpose is to advance the program.
   c. They employed a good staff that are very knowledgeable and in tune with the projects.
   d. Their ability to work with the city and county staff and with the commissioners. Also their ability to capitalize on state funds and grants and bring in additional money.
   e. Their general consensus building with all the groups and dealing with all the politics involved.
   f. Their staff is the biggest strength; Jim does an excellent job of managing the staff.
   g. Great staff, Jim is great person for this job.
   h. They have leveraged the dollars received through sales tax very, very well.
   i. Because they are part of the locals, they have the ability to work through issues and not be looked upon as outsiders.
   j. Their ability to execute projects on time and within budget; as well to find the money to do projects.
   k. A very strong staff, excellent teamwork within the organization, credibility with everyone.
   l. Getting projects done on time and within budget. Communication is good.
16. As an Intergovernmental Agency what are Blueprint 2000’s weaknesses for the past year (October, 2008 to September, 2009)?

a. The selection of the contractors for the project; in the RFP and the bidding process. (How should it be changed?) That requires a long answer, not enough time to respond.
b. Can’t think of any.
c. They are far away and I can’t get to them quickly.
d. N/A.
e. None.
f. Find a way of leveraging the environmental aspects of transportation, more effort placed on that area.
g. Challenges, the economy, having to adjust.
h. None.
i. They have a series of plans/agenda, so they are forced to move on those in a short period of time, which could be good or bad. Communication coordination.
j. No deficiency noted.
k. According to Mr. Davis an increase in information via Public Record Requests coupled with a marginal internal filing system has been a distraction to the mission.
l. Protests.

17. What single action would make Blueprint 2000 more impactful?

a. They already have a pretty good positive impression, they should stay the course.
b. More money.
c. If the public could see some of the presentations we see, they are pretty impressive, maybe add to website or public updates on projects.
d. More frequent publicity.
e. No comments.
f. Continuing complete project on time and within budget.
g. Revisit the overall focal awareness of the project, especially if there is a re-up on the half penny.
h. More money to get projects on the ground faster, not in their control.
i. Hard to say, we’ve been very successful. Some of the parts are greater than the whole. Transportation is better today because of Blueprint.
j. Funding, if they can open up the funding to get the projects done, the sky is the limit. They can really get projects on the waiting done.
k. Again, according to the director, emphases need to be placed on a more efficient filing system.
l. Better contracting with the RFP process.
18. What improvements would you recommend to increase community support and involvement for Blueprint 2000?

a. They are already doing very well.

b. Continuing to make progress on existing projects.

c. If the public could see some of the presentations we see, they are pretty impressive, maybe add to website or public updates on projects.

d. I think PR, I don’t think they need to have forums; they need to toot their horn.

e. Keep the public aware of what’s going on, provide more public education.

f. Environmental aspects.

g. Not sure they could do it any better.

h. They keep the public informed via their website and public media

i. Hard to say, they are many challenges. Cost of right away is increasing, the cost of projects, etc…

j. They do a good job promoting.

k. More public outreach would improve this however; I believe the current amount of community involvement is adequate.

l. FAMU Way, outreach programs, display and storyboards.

19. What outcomes do you believe need to be achieved for Blueprint 2000 to be considered successful in the coming year (Oct 2010 to Sep 2011)?

a. The two major projects when they start construction should go smoothly within budget as few changes outs/auditor as possible, etc…

b. Getting the Cascade Park done.

c. Keeping the current big projects from falling, keep the momentum, the cascade trail project is the crown jewel of their projects that would be great to complete.

d. They have to show quick results at Cascade Park.

e. Cascade park and get the permits secured for Capital Circle SW.

f. Cascade Park, get it completed.

g. Cascade Park, communication to the community of what the Blueprint does and why.

h. Successful negotiations on the bid protest.

i. Capital Circle project completion.


k. Commence construction of Capital Cascade Trail, begin design of Franklin Blvd, and begin construction on Capital Circle: Woodville to Crawfordville and West Tennessee to Blountstown Highway.

l. Cascade Park and Franklin Blvd.
20. How effective has the program been in ensuring that priority projects are pursued (Tier 1), and that no money is diverted to lower Tier projects?

a. Yes.
b. We already have a good system in place so that not something to worry about.
c. Not involved.
d. Fairly effective.
e. Yes.
f. Yes.
g. Have not observed this aspect
h. Yes.
i. N/A.
j. 5.
k. The agency has been unswerving in its application of the interlocal Agreement and bylaws.
l. Good job, effective.

21. Is the established protocol for changing the priorities on the Tier 1 and Tier 2 project lists acceptable?

a. Yes.
b. 2.
c. 2.
d. I think it works as well as it can
e. 2.
f. Yes.
g. 2.
h. Yes.
i. N/A – not involved with that.
j. Yes.
k. Yes, this should not be too easy. The process currently in place is excellent.
l. Yes.

22. How effective have completed and projected Blueprint 2000 projects been in reducing traffic congestion by improving local and state roads while also reducing storm water and flooding potentials?

a. Very effective.
b. They’ve been pretty successful, 4 on scale of 1-5.
c. Projects in process, when completed will present evidence their effectiveness.
d. Extremely effective 5 out of 5.
e. 4.
f. 5 on traffic (4 storm water).
g. Very effective.
h. Very effective (more capacity on Capital Circle after widening).
i. Very successful, if you drive on the roads you can see congestion has been reduced.
j. Very effective on traffic, not familiar with storm water projects. 5.
k. Excellent and will improve even more as projects are completed.
l. Need to finish more projects before we can make an assessment.
23. How effective are completed and projected Blueprint 2000 projects in protecting lakes and drinking water while expanding natural areas, parks, and recreational facilities?

   a. Very effective.
   b. 5 out of 5.
   c. Yes (4).
   d. Yes Blueprint is effective.
   e. 3; they can always do better storm water.
   f. Very effective.
   g. Very effective.
   h. Yes.
   i. Very successful (4) only because there is always room for improvement.
   j. 5.
   k. Capital Cascade Trail and Park will be a major step in this direction. Other projects all incorporate these facets as well.
   l. Good job.

24. How effective has Blueprint 2000 been at using sound, innovative business practices that demonstrate timely, efficient and effective operations?

   a. Average.
   b. We’ve been using sound business practices.
   c. Yes (4).
   d. Extremely effective. Recommend Jim gets a raise.
   e. Yes.
   f. Very effective.
   g. Very effective.
   h. Yes.
   i. Creatively, 5 – very effective.
   j. 5.
   k. Very good, the design build concept and incentive right of way program attest to the out of the box thinking.
   l. 4.
#15. Proposed FY 2011 Blueprint Operating Budget
Agenda Item

SUBJECT/TITLE: Adoption of the Fiscal Year 2011 Operating Budget and Resolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: September 20, 2010</th>
<th>Requested By: Blueprint 2000 Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person: Phil Maher</td>
<td>Type of Item: Discussion &amp; Public Hearing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
This item is to request approval and adoption of the Agency’s FY 2011 Operating Budget.

- A Public Hearing is advertised and scheduled for 5:30 pm.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
At the June 21, 2010 meeting of the Intergovernmental Agency, the Board was presented the FY 2011 Operating Budget for their review and comment. **There were no recommended changes made by the Board.** Since that time the City has finalized Blueprint’s share of allocated cost for administrative services. This resulted in an increase of $2,600.00 in the proposed budget. The overall increase in the recurring operating expenses is now 8%.

Changes to the Budget are highlighted on Attachment 1. As stated in the June agenda item Blueprint is requesting the higher of the City and County’s proposed pay raise.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Adopt FY 2011 Operating Budget and related Budget Resolution.

ACTION BY THE CAC:
The CAC did not recommend any changes to the Proposed FY 2011 Operating Budget at their meeting on June 3, 2010.

ATTACHMENT(S):
Attachment 1: Budget Resolution
Attachment 2: Budget Detail
Attachment 3: Budget Narrative
RESOLUTION NO. 2010-01

WHEREAS, the Intergovernmental Agency’s Budget Policy 102, Section 06, subsection B(2), requires the Intergovernmental Agency to adopt an annual operating budget and appropriate funding for the upcoming year; and

WHEREAS, the Intergovernmental Agency has acknowledged the receipt of sales tax revenue to fund expenses for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2009, and ending September 30, 2010,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency, hereby approves and adopts the budget for Fiscal Year 2010 as reflected below, and that all incomplete project balances, requisitions, and encumbrances from prior years will automatically be re-appropriated.

**Blueprint 2000 FY 2011 Budget Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Expenses</td>
<td>$803,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses</td>
<td>$284,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Liability Premium</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocated Cost</td>
<td>$54,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-fund Transfer</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recurring Operations</td>
<td>$1,966,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Recurring Location Cost</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers to Capital Projects</td>
<td>$6,356,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Transfer</td>
<td>$14,983,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIB loan Transfer</td>
<td>$4,583,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Request</td>
<td>$27,960,087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of Funds

Sales Tax Proceeds $27,960,087

Adopted this _____ day of September, 2010

Tallahassee/Leon County, Florida

Attest:

By: _________________________    By: _________________________
Mark Mustian, Chairman Blueprint 2000    Gary Herndon Treasurer-Clerk
Intergovernmental Agency     City of Tallahassee

Approved as to Form:

By: _________________________
Maribel Nicholson-Choice
Blueprint 2000 General Counsel
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2009 Actual</th>
<th>FY2010 Amended Budget</th>
<th>FY2011 Proposed</th>
<th>Percent Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salaries</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511000 Salaries</td>
<td>$561,048</td>
<td>$550,610</td>
<td>$552,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries Enhancements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511500 Temp wages</td>
<td>$15,212</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512000 Overtime</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512400 Other Salary Items</td>
<td>$11,859</td>
<td>$11,859</td>
<td>$11,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515000 Pension-current</td>
<td>$49,157</td>
<td>$53,523</td>
<td>$61,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515100 Pension-MAP</td>
<td>$38,709</td>
<td>$43,460</td>
<td>$39,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515600 Mandatory Medicare</td>
<td>$8,756</td>
<td>$8,231</td>
<td>$7,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FICA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516000 Health Benefits &amp; Life</td>
<td>$38,819</td>
<td>$43,822</td>
<td>$51,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516100 Health Benefits Retirees</td>
<td>$11,337</td>
<td>$16,676</td>
<td>$16,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516100 Flex Benefits</td>
<td>$13,806</td>
<td>$14,378</td>
<td>$11,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512000 County’s Worker Comp</td>
<td></td>
<td>$117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Personnel Services</strong></td>
<td>$748,703</td>
<td>$789,559</td>
<td>$803,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521010 Advertising</td>
<td>$1,150</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521030 Reproduction</td>
<td>$2,696</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521040 Professional Fees/Services</td>
<td>$78,410</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perf.Audit, Fin. Audit, Fin. Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Disclosure Serv. ERD, and Internal Control Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521100 Equipment Repairs</td>
<td>$3,959</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521160 Legal Services</td>
<td>$17,382</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521180 Uncl. Contractual Services</td>
<td>$17,458</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521190 Computer Software</td>
<td>$5,793</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>522080 Telephone</td>
<td>$2,046</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523020 Food</td>
<td>$1,074</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523050 Postage</td>
<td>$2,216</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523060 Office Supplies</td>
<td>$13,380</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523080 Unclassified Supplies</td>
<td>$1,292</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523100 Vehicle Non-Garage</td>
<td>$4,454</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524010 Travel and Training</td>
<td>$5,269</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524020 Journals and Books</td>
<td>$808</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524030 Membership Dues</td>
<td>$1,294</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524050 Rental of Office Space</td>
<td>$95,132</td>
<td>$97,821</td>
<td>$97,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524080 Unclassified charges</td>
<td>$1,052</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Misc. Operating Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$254,865</td>
<td>$286,021</td>
<td>$284,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540040 Liability Insurance Premium</td>
<td>$19,435</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Other Svcs/Charges</td>
<td>FY2009 Actual</td>
<td>FY2010 Amended</td>
<td>FY2011 Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$19,435</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550030 Office Equipment</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550040 Computer Equipment</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550060 Unclassified Equipment</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital Outlay</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560010 Human Resource Expense</td>
<td>$4,887</td>
<td>$5,919</td>
<td>$6,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560020 Accounting Expense</td>
<td>$40,990</td>
<td>$40,985</td>
<td>$16,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560030 Purchasing Expense</td>
<td>$18,021</td>
<td>$5,348</td>
<td>$32,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560040 Information Systems Exp. Allocated Costs</td>
<td>$63,898</td>
<td>$52,252</td>
<td>$54,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612400 Inter-fund Transfer</td>
<td>$845,519</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen. Eng. Consultant</td>
<td>$4,887</td>
<td>$5,919</td>
<td>$6,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating</td>
<td>$1,932,420</td>
<td>$1,950,832</td>
<td>$1,966,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524080 Non recurring relocation cost</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612400 Other Transfers</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td>$54,744,045</td>
<td>$6,356,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to Capital Projects</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td>$54,744,045</td>
<td>$6,356,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611300 Debt Service Transfer</td>
<td>$14,988,956</td>
<td>$14,984,256</td>
<td>$14,983,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIB Loan</td>
<td>$1,404,083</td>
<td>$4,583,685</td>
<td>$4,583,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available for Future Years</td>
<td>$48,320,527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget</td>
<td>$70,645,986</td>
<td>$76,262,818</td>
<td>$27,960,087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source of Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Balance</th>
<th>FY2009</th>
<th>FY2010</th>
<th>FY2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$41,755,171</td>
<td>$48,320,527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$48,935</td>
<td>$53,953</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,015,334</td>
<td>$27,826,546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Tax Proceeds</td>
<td>$27,888,338</td>
<td>$27,960,087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$70,645,986</td>
<td>$76,262,818</td>
<td>$27,960,087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2011 Budget Narrative

511000 Blueprint is requesting the higher of the City or County’s proposed pay raise be appropriated to Blueprint. Currently there are no funds included for pay raises.

511500 Temp wages includes Intern during the summer $10,000 and $10,000 for temporary help to assist in scanning of documents. There is also $25,000 included for temporary legal assistance.

512400- These costs are determined by the City and County to cover the cost of their respective fringe benefit packages.

516100 This is the charge to Blueprint to cover the cost of the City’s share future employees health Benefits.

512000 Overtime for Admin Asst and OPS staff

521010 Public hearing notices, new releases, etc.

521030 Copies, letterhead, agenda items, etc.

521040 Unclassified Professional fees; Financial Audit $22,800, Performance Audit $25,000, Financial Advisor $20,000, Bond Information Services $6,000, ERD $2,000, and misc. $4,200

521100 Equip repairs, copier maintenance contract and copies, recording equipment, power point projector no longer on warranty, fax machine.

521160 Legal services includes outside General Counsel Attorney services

521080 Unclassified Contract Services includes two FSU planning interns $16,000 and $4000 misc.

521190 Computer Software $4,000, maintenance, software licenses $3500.

522080 Telephone long distance and 5 cell phones

523020 6 CAC meetings, workgroup meeting, lunch meetings, and 1 evening IA meeting

523060 Office supplies printer toner, paper, and general office needs.

523080 Unclassified Supplies, items such as surge protectors, safety vests

523100 Repairs and service on 3 Vehicles. Average age of vehicles is 9 years

524010 Travel includes trips similar to, Chamber Retreat, Team Florida, FCT related seminars and includes $4000 Florida Bar conferences.

524020 Journals and Books including legal subscriptions

524040 Membership dues Florida Bar dues and etc.

524050 Current lease expires in December. The amount reflected is based on our lease.

524080 Unclassified Charges, including Paying Agent charges


560010-40 Blueprint’s share of Allocated Costs. Accounting Services expense increase is to bring the charge inline with actual usage.

612400 General Engineering Consultant $800,000 and transfer of sales tax revenue to Capital Project.

524080 None recurring move cost includes cost of movers, relocation of computer and telephone equipment as well as replacement of 3 servers.
#16.

Revised Master Plan and Proposed FY 2011-FY 2015 Blueprint Capital Budget
Agenda Item

SUBJECT/TITLE: Adoption of Fiscal Year 2011-2015 Capital Budget and Resolution

Date: September 20, 2010 Requested By: Blueprint 2000 Staff
Contact Person: Phil Maher Type of Item: Discussion & Public Hearing

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
This item is to request the Board’s approval of the Revised Master Plan, FY2011-2015 Capital Budget, and to appropriate Fiscal Year 2010 funding.

- A Public Hearing is advertised and scheduled for 5:30 pm.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
As stated in the June agenda item, it was the recommendation of the Finance Committee and Financial Advisor not to adjust the growth rates for this budget cycle. This recommendation was based on two factors.

- Indications that the current downward trend will reach bottom this fiscal year and modest growth are expected before full recovery begins in late FY 2011.
- Any fluctuation in the growth rates only affects projects in the out years.

Based on this recommendation, Blueprint is not recommending any major changes to the Master Plan adopted in September other than those approved by the Board for additional grant revenues. The Board has approved the following increases to the Master Plan:

1. $9 million in additional ARRA funds for Capital Circle Southeast Woodville Highway to Crawfordville Road.
2. $2,121,919 in additional grants and donation for amenities in Cascade Park.
3. $425,000 in DOT funds not previously budgeted for the design and post design services of Capital Circle Northwest/Southwest.
4. $150,000 in FDOT funds for the design of a pedestrian bridge over South Monroe Street connecting Cascade Park and Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail.
5. A reduction of $1,357,829 due to a change in the County’s water quality drawdown schedule.

This represents a $10.3 million increase from the previously approved master plan.

The Board discussed the various options and no modifications were made to Blueprint’s recommendation.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

ATTACHMENT(S)
Attachment 1: Budget Resolution
Attachment 2: Revised Master Plan 2011
Attachment 3: Approved Master Plan
Attachment 4: FY 2011-2015 CIP Program
Attachment 5: June 21, 2010 agenda item
RESOLUTION NO. 2010-02

WHEREAS, the Intergovernmental Agency’s Budget Policy 102, Section 06, subsection B (2), requires the Intergovernmental Agency to adopt an Capital Budget and appropriate funding for the upcoming year; and

WHEREAS, the Intergovernmental Agency has acknowledged the receipt of bond proceeds and sales tax revenue to fund expenses for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2010, and ending September 30, 2011,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Blueprint 2000 Intergovernmental Agency, hereby approves and adopts the budget for fiscal year 2011 as reflected below, and that all incomplete project balances, requisitions, and encumbrances from prior years will automatically be re-appropriated

Blueprint 2000
Fiscal Year 2010 Capital Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Water Quality</td>
<td>$713,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNW from 90 to Orange</td>
<td>$435,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Cascade Trail</td>
<td>$854,952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,003,207</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopted this _____ day of September, 2010

Tallahassee/Leon County, Florida

By: _________________________    By: _________________________
Mark Mustain, Chairman Blueprint 2000    Gary Herndon Treasurer-Clerk
Intergovernmental Agency     City of Tallahassee

Approved as to Form:

By: _________________________
Maribel Nicholson-Choice    Blueprint 2000 General Counsel
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2032</th>
<th>2033</th>
<th>2034</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2036</th>
<th>2037</th>
<th>2038</th>
<th>2039</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2002-2020 Capital Plan Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality/Stormwater &amp; Bios.</td>
<td>81,260</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>123,506</td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>151,250</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>175,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>121,000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>170,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>230,000</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>290,000</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>380,000</td>
<td>2,251,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Facility Year Budget</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>270,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>330,000</td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>390,000</td>
<td>420,000</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>480,000</td>
<td>510,000</td>
<td>540,000</td>
<td>570,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>3,630,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>530,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction &amp; Right-of-Way</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>12,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Project Expense</td>
<td>98,561,386</td>
<td>54,123,583</td>
<td>56,489,844</td>
<td>50,179,477</td>
<td>38,003,051</td>
<td>39,889,530</td>
<td>38,192,369</td>
<td>44,482,805</td>
<td>30,581,678</td>
<td>14,787,450</td>
<td>13,302,043</td>
<td>7,012,395</td>
<td>17,006,333</td>
<td>19,542,365</td>
<td>6,882,580</td>
<td>6,173,466</td>
<td>18,394,115</td>
<td>23,917,889</td>
<td>587,805,051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Blueprint 2000 Five Year Capital Budget 2011-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Schedule</th>
<th>Prior Years Appropriation</th>
<th>FY 2011</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Water Quality</td>
<td>$8,646,236</td>
<td>$713,255</td>
<td>$1,662,031</td>
<td>$1,695,023</td>
<td>$1,771,299</td>
<td>$1,851,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Water Quality</td>
<td>$20,486,898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,661,819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater St Marks NWFWMD</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater St Marks</td>
<td>$5,072,144</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,998,077</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Jackson Basin</td>
<td>$3,542,429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Floodplain</td>
<td>$1,750,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidar</td>
<td>$349,817</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Bank ROW/Sen.Land</td>
<td>$9,550,034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive Lands and Land Bank</td>
<td>$480,448</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCNW from I-10 to U.S. 90</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>$808,806</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$51,694,644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$25,058,669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td>$4,285,996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenways</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$83,848,115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCNW from US 90 to Orange</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>$2,527,857</td>
<td>$435,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway</td>
<td>$1,898,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td>$2,540,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering and Design</td>
<td>$5,955,777</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$47,887,634</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$46,718,522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$107,527,790</td>
<td>$435,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Prior Years</td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>FY 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSE Connie to Tram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>$3,023,560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$4,656,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Build Contract</td>
<td>$36,488,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$44,167,560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSE Tram. to Woodville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>$2,016,187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>$1,604,939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$13,174,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$20,560,477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$37,355,603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSE Woodville to Crawfordville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>$1,326,952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>$1,435,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$13,700,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$16,461,952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSW Crawford to Orange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>$1,085,044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering</td>
<td>$3,420,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$4,505,044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Cascade Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>$3,619,687</td>
<td>$854,952</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering</td>
<td>$2,904,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$2,904,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$2,904,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$6,523,687</td>
<td>$854,952</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Prior Years</td>
<td>FY 2011</td>
<td>FY 2012</td>
<td>FY 2013</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>FY 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Cascade Trail - 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering</td>
<td>$3,950,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$1,280,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$29,629,124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$34,859,124</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Cascade Trail Segment 3 &amp; 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering</td>
<td>$5,890,320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW &amp; Construction.</td>
<td>$980,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,457,618</td>
<td>$3,801,000</td>
<td>$13,880,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,870,320</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$10,457,618</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,801,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,880,928</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahan Drive</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$404,497,201</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,003,207</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,112,031</strong></td>
<td><strong>$15,600,718</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,834,118</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,281,935</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agenda Item

SUBJECT/TITLE: Revised Master Plan and Fiscal Year 2011-2015 Blueprint Capital Budget

Date: June 21, 2010  Requested By: Blueprint 2000 Staff
Contact Person: Phil Maher  Type of Item: Discussion

STATEMENT OF ISSUE:
This item is to provide an opportunity for the Board to review and comment on the Revised Master Plan and FY 2011-2015 Capital Budget.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
The Capital Budget implements the approved Master Plan. Funding of the Master Plan is based on a cash flow forecast of projected sales tax revenues thru the entire program. The current model includes a 1% growth rate in FY 2011, 2% in FY12 and 3% thereafter to FY 2020. The forecast is reviewed by our Finance Committee and Financial Advisor every year.

The Committee met on March 2, 2010. It was the recommendation of the Finance Committee and Financial Advisor not to adjust the growth rates for this budget cycle. This recommendation was based on two factors.

- Indications that the current downward trend will reach bottom this fiscal year and modest growth are expected before full recovery begins in late FY 2011.
- Any fluctuation in the growth rates only affects projects in the out years.

Blueprint is not recommending any major changes to the Master Plan adopted in September other than those approved by the Board for additional grant revenues. The Board has approved the following increases to the Master Plan:

1. $9 million in additional ARRA funds for Capital Circle Southeast Woodville Highway to Crawfordville Road.
2. $2,121,919 in additional grants and donation for amenities in Cascade Park
3. $425,000 in DOT funds not previously budgeted for the design and post design services of Capital Circle Northwest/Southwest.
4. $150,000 in FDOT funds for the design of a pedestrian bridge over South Monroe Street connecting Cascade Park and Segment 3 of Capital Cascade Trail.
5. A reduction of $1,357,829 due to a change in the County’s water quality drawdown schedule.

This represents an $10.3 million increase from the previously approved master plan.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Review and comment on the revised Master Plan.

ACTION BY CAC:
The CAC approve the Revised Master Plan and Capital Budget. The CAC recommend that the Capital Budget spread sheet be reformatted.
ATTACHMENTS:
Attachment 1: Proposed Master Plan
Attachment 2: Approved Master Plan
Attachment 3: Capital Budget FY 2011- 2015 Request