

TCC Meeting Minutes

315 S. Calhoun Street, Suite 450

Thursday, August 11, 2016

Attendees: **(TCC Members in Bold)** *(TCC Member Substitutes In Bold Italics)*

Tony Park	Wayne Tedder	Cameron Snipes
Theresa Heiker	Ben Pingree	Kelsey Lewis
<i>Jack Kostrewa</i>	Charles Hargraves	Steve Palmer
Steve Shafer	Roger Cain	Craig Barkve
John Kraynak	Angela Ivy	Anna Padilla
Rodney Cassidy	Autumn Calder	Megan Doherty
Jodie Cahoon	Alicia Wetherell	

Charles Hargraves called the meeting to order at 1:00 pm.

I. Agenda Modifications

There were no agenda modifications.

II. Information Items

Item #1: Project Updates

This item was informational only.

Item #2: Magnolia Drive Multi-Use Trail Update

This item was informational only.

Item #3: Capital Cascades Trail Segment 3 History and Culture Trail Project

This item was informational only.

III. Consent

Item #4: TCC Meeting Minutes (February 11, 2016)

There were no comments on the minutes.

Item #5: Proposed 2017 Meeting Schedule for Blueprint Intergovernmental Agency Board, CAC, & TCC

Charles Hargraves stated that Blueprint proposed moving the TCC meetings to Monday's to allow staff time to be better prepared for CAC meetings. Ben Pingree noted the beneficial nature of collaboration and the necessity for staff to have the opportunity an ability to pivot with the technical input of City and County staff. Moving forward meetings might be structured differently, particularly in regards to agendas, however, the goal was to make the best use of the expertise of the committee at each scheduled meeting.

IV. Presentations/Discussions

Item #6: City of Tallahassee Water Quality Funding Request for Market District Sense of Place Stormwater Improvements Project

Autumn Calder stated that the City submitted a request to utilize the balance and all future allocations of their share of Water Quality dollars to fund the Market District Sense of Place stormwater improvements project. Pope Environmental, a third-party reviewer, evaluated the project and recommended it be moved forward. Blueprint sought the concurrence of the TCC with staff's recommendation allowing it to move forward to the CAC and IA. There were no comments or objections from the Committee.

Item #7: Capital Cascade Trail Segment 3D Project Funding Request

Cameron Snipes with Kimley Horn and Associates gave a recap of activities since the last TCC meeting and status update of the Segment 3D project.

John Buss questioned if the removal total from the effluent reflected what was entering Cascades Park or leaving Boca Chuba Pond. Mr. Snipes stated that the graphic represented only the Segment 3D regional pond. Mr. Buss stated that he was concerned about counting capacity twice. He wanted to be sure that as the project moved forward, that there was clear and accurate accounting and explanations of the data to staff. Mr. Snipes reiterated the pre-Cascades Park conditions data and highlighted the 20% improvements that were a direct response to the construction of Boca Chuba and Coal Chute ponds as well as Lake Anita. The comparison was to support the permitting process of the roadway and trail redevelopment projects. The math of the

current conditions did not match the data because the data assumed full buildout with future compliance of land use of the area.

Wayne Tedder stated that one possible strategy from the City's perspective could be that they and Blueprint worked with FAMU during the master planning process to capture stormwater on FAMU property. Charles Hargraves stated that Blueprint would bring the item back to the TCC for additional discussion on accounting and capacity records and reimbursement to Blueprint for treatment.

Item #8: Welaunee Boulevard Extension

Charles Hargraves gave a brief presentation on the agenda material.

Ben Pingree stated that while there were several variables involved, the purpose was ultimately to position Blueprint in the best posture possible to gain approval of a SIB loan to leverage project funding. It was prudent to spearhead the PD&E and design now to have a 'shovel ready' project for the application in the future.

Greg Slay stated that the CRTPA had recently been informed that the FDOT would have TRIP funding available. He suggested updating the project to a regionally significant roadway and add it as a potential candidate. It was a 50/50 match program. He was in conversation with FDOT regarding amounts and years it could be available but did not yet have an estimate. Regarding the PD&E, he suggested that, as he had seen in District 5, incorporating a percentage of the design in the PD&E process. Mr. Hargraves stated that staff's goal was 30% design during the PD&E.

John Buss cautioned against linking up roads through major northeast neighborhoods; a specific example would be Kerry Forest Parkway or Killearney Way. Mr. Pingree stated that, what he recalled of the analysis, which Blueprint believed to be faulty, was that it called the Welaunee extension and widening of Thomasville Road as insignificant. The only alternative listed as significant was the route through neighborhoods. FDOT had since backed away from that position. Mr. Buss likened it to them threatening a nuclear bomb and backing way but throwing in a hand grenade. He wanted to know what the "hand grenade" suggestion would be and asked about the traffic models.

Mr. Tedder stated that Kimley Horn had modeled the alternatives for Blueprint. Furthermore, Blueprint staff as well as staff from City Manager's office and County Administration had met with FDOT throughout the last year and the project was predicated on relieving traffic number on Thomasville Road first. Mr. Pingree stated that FDOT staff was preceding in an expeditious manner with the option before the TCC. It allowed their staff the wherewithal and time to design a new interchange at I-10 and the new Welaunee Blvd which would have its own significant benefit to the community.

Ken Morris questioned if the right-of-way donation for the interchange was memorialized. Mr. Tedder stated that currently it was a hand-shake-deal between the City and District3 and the details were a work in progress. It was memorialized in agenda items from the June and September 2016 IA meetings. He anticipated that once finalized, it would be through a 163-

development agreement where **the City/Blueprint** constructed the roadway in the phasing dictated by design. Currently however, the City had an agreement with the property owner to preserve 15-acres for an interchange on the north side of I-10; as well as the right-of-way identified north of Shamrock. That agreement was amended in February 2016 to allow 1) the discussion to move forward and 2) a new Land Use Plan, developed by the Planning Department, for entitlements for the area north of I-10 to Roberts Road.

Speaking to Mr. Buss' concerns about the stormwater model, Ryan Wetherell with Kimley Horn and Associates, stated that from a pipe standpoint, Thomasville Road could not take on any additional water out of the Killlearn area. Each widening attracted more traffic pushing it to capacity. That roadway at I-10 wanted to carry **106K cars per day** in an unconstrained model. Tallahassee could not build enough lanes to accommodate 106K cars; currently it was at 75K. What was discovered with the last report from FDOT was that improvements attracted more traffic. The construction of Welaunee Blvd however decreased traffic on Thomasville Road by 3k to 4k cars per day. Unfortunately, that was not enough to provide benefit to Thomasville Road. Not constructing Welaunee Blvd though, kept the traffic on Centerville, Miccosukee, and backed out on Thomasville having cut through Killlearn Estates. The extension of Welaunee Blvd was needed as much for the afore mentioned roadways as the development potential of the property as well.

Item #9: Proposed Fiscal Year 2017 Blueprint Operating Budget

Charles Hargraves gave a brief presentation on the agenda material. There were no comments by the members of the committee.

Item #10: Proposed Fiscal Year 2017 Blueprint Net Sales Tax Allocation Plan and 2017-2020 Capital Improvement Plan

Charles Hargraves gave a brief presentation on the agenda material. There were no comments by the members of the committee.

V. Citizens to be Heard

There were no speakers.

VI. Items from Members of the Committee

There were no items from members of the committee.

VII. Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 2:25 pm.