

TCC Meeting Minutes
 315 S. Calhoun Street, Suite 450
 Thursday, February 11, 2106

Attendees: (TCC Members in Bold) (*TCC Member Substitutes In Bold Italics*)

Tony Park	Wayne Tedder	Cameron Snipes
Theresa Heiker	Ben Pingree	Kelsey Lewis
<i>Jack Kostrewa</i>	Charles Hargraves	Steve Palmer
Steve Shafer	Roger Cain	Craig Barkve
John Kraynak	Angela Ivy	Anna Padilla
Rodney Cassidy	Autumn Calder	
Jodie Cahoon	Alicia Wetherell	

Charles Hargraves called the meeting to order at 1:00 pm and introduce Ben Pingree, the new Director, PLACE.

I. Agenda Modifications

There were no agenda modifications.

II. Information Items

Item #1: Second Amended and Reinstated Interlocal Agreement and Blueprint Staff Changes

This item was informational only.

III. Consent

Item #2: TCC Meeting Minutes: August 13, 2015

There were no comments on the minutes.

IV. Presentations/Discussions

Item #3: Capital Cascades Trail Segment 3D – Approval of Concept Plan from Coal Chute Pond to Lake Bradford Road

Charles Hargraves stated that Blueprint's purpose, working in conjunction with Kimley Horn and Associates (KHA), was to find opportunities for flood control and stormwater treatment in the area. He introduced Cameron Snipes with KHA who presented on the item. Mr. Snipes stated that KHA developed one, consolidated XPSWM model for the Central Drainage Ditch (CDD) and St. Augustine Branch basins. Following updates to specifically review the improvements to the CDD, he stated that three options were considered for the concept plan in the presentation.

Regarding Option A, Steve Shaffer questioned if the roadway was not hydraulically connected if it would allow for additional (potential) capacity in the box. Mr. Snipes stated that it would not. The FAMU basin was so small that there were no significant impacts at the peak stage.

Tony Park questioned if any one option had a greater water quality benefit over the others; specifically regarding retrofit. Mr. Snipes stated that in his opinion, Option A held the most water quality components because the Segment 3 pond and Tallahassee Junction would be working in tandem. Both ponds would be connected to the watershed. KHA was working with City staff to finalize the numbers however, he felt there could potentially be more water quality improvement with Option A. Mr. Park stated that he felt that any options that emphasized water quality, in particular that of water entering Lake Munson, needed to be emphasized. Mr. Hargraves asked if the water quality aspect would be quantified early on in the design. Mr. Snipes stated that it would be completed as part of the conceptual permit package that would be submitted to FDEP within the next two months.

Theresa Heiker questioned if the planned pond would be wet or dry based. If it held a permanent pool of water, it could not take on the additional capacity of 30-acre feet suggested. Mr. Snipes stated that it would be a wet, 15-foot deep, lined pond at approximately 45 degrees of elevation making it a 30-acre feet of storage pond. Based on the model, it did not provide a significant attenuation. In reference to 87-acres, specifically redevelopment versus retrofit, she understood that it was part of the FAMU Master Plan for redevelopment therefore redevelopment support not retrofit. Mr. Hargraves stated that it would be a regional stormwater facility that would provide both retrofit and flood control. Ms. Heiker questioned if, theoretically, the redevelopment for FAMU Way proper would also cover FAMU in the regional facility.

Wayne Tedder stated that the hope was that Blueprint would try for it. As he saw it, there was an opportunity prior to the completion of Segment 4, for the 87-acre watershed to be accounted for and that would open up more area for redevelopment. However, if it was assumed to be 90% impervious, there would also be area to consider for redevelopment. Mr. Snipes stated that KHA ran the model with 100% and 70% impervious surface. Because the basins were so far south and the connection to the pond also, as well as the timing of the flow, they basically hit the system prior to the peak. It did not have peak flows or peak stages because of the timing of the watershed.

John Kraynak stated that he understood that KHA was trying to accommodate the 100-year, 8-hour storm however he felt that small storm events also needed to be considered. He suggested KHA review water quality analyses from the last 40-years to have a better grasp on in-line versus off-line treatment. Mr. Snipes agreed with Mr. Kraynak and stated that it would be part of the review.

Ben Pingree stated that the intention moving forward was to present the aggregate concept to the Board at the February 29, 2016 meeting for their approval. It would be returned to the staff level for their expertise to evaluate cost, water quality improvements, etc. and ultimately identifying one to move forward to design.

Mr. Tedder stated that from the City's perspective, the pond would shape roadway alignment. There would be a twostep process in approval. The Board would need to approve the acquisition of additional right-of-way for an item to go before the City Commission requesting approval of the altered alignment through the corridor.

Mr. Hargraves stated that once KHA was further along in the modeling, Blueprint would host a technical discussion first with the working group and then with the TCC on what the facility can achieve. Jodie Cahoon stated that he was concerned that there was not more technical data for the TCC to review because the concept was not yet defined. He supported Mr. Park earlier comments and stated that he would like to see further analysis of the water quality components.

Mr. Tedder spoke to the holistic nature of the Blueprint philosophy and again of proposed redevelopment along FAMU Way. He stressed how essential it was to have the infrastructure in place to support that evolution. Mr. Park asked Mr. Tedder to clarify the assertion that Capital Cascades Trail Segments 1-3C had inherent and significant water quality improvements. Such that could be provided to the Science Advisory Committee. Ms. Heiker stated that sampling along Munson Slough by the County did not indicate any improvement to water quality. That was the information that the County would have to present to the SAC. Mr. Tedder state that the numbers he referenced to support water quality came from a report from around the time of the construction of Coal Chute Pond. Mr. Park stated that he hoped that was the case and was the data that the County could present to the SAC. Or did it indicate that there were other issues to the west that was contributing to Munson Slough. It was a piece that needed to be resolved however.

Mr. Hargraves questioned if the City sampled along the Central Drainage Ditch. Mr. Cahoon stated that water quality samples were taken further downstream in the system. There was inherent water quality improvement that came through simply taking the water off the existing St. Augustine Branch. He spoke to the impacts of various City project also had on the water quality there as well. However, in the development of a concept for a pond, they needed clearly defined goals for redevelopment and/or retrofit. If the pond would be designed for redevelopment, to add retrofit water would require more of the design. The Committee was in consensus that any information presented to the IA be clearly defined as a facility supporting redevelopment. Furthermore, until the area was redeveloped, the reality was that all of the water would be retrofit.

Item #4: Orange Avenue, Lake Bradford, and Springhill Road Corridor Study

Autumn Calder presented on the item stating that it was for informational purposes only; no action was required from the TCC.

Charles Hargraves stated that FDOT was in the process of extending the limits of the corridor study south through Springhill Road to Capital Circle Southwest. Tony Park clarified that it was a corridor study not a PD&E study. The anticipation was that the Agency that maintained that segment of roadway, would be the one to fund the study. He stated that the segment of Lake Bradford and Springhill Roads, south of where they diverged, were County owned. He stated that he needed to know which part of that study the CRTPA anticipated that the County would fund. Jack Kostrewa stated that at that point he was uncertain. Their Board gave direction to the CRTPA to begin moving forward with the study. There were preliminary aspects with the PD&E that once resolved would support the development of the time frame of the corridor study. With those pieces in place, funding entities could be identified. Wayne Tedder stated that as he understood it, funding would come from CRTPA, Blueprint, and the State of Florida. Not the County.

V. Citizens to be Heard

There were no speakers.

VI. Items from Members of the Committee

There were no items from members of the committee.

VII. Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 2:25 pm.