

**TALLAHASSEE – LEON COUNTY
BLUEPRINT 2000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCY**

**Meeting Minutes
September 15, 2008**

5:00 pm, City Commission Chambers

MEMBERS PRESENT

County

Commissioner John Dailey
Commissioner Ed DePuy
Commissioner Brian Desloge
Commissioner Bob Rackleff
Commissioner Jane Sauls
Commissioner Cliff Thaeil

City

Commissioner Andrew Gillum, Chairman
Commissioner Allan Katz
Commissioner Debbie Lightsey
Mayor John Marks
Commissioner Mark Mustian

CITY/COUNTY STAFF

Ramon Alexander, City Commission
Jim Davis, Director, Blueprint 2000
Shelonda Gay, Blueprint 2000
Gary Herndon, Treasurer Clerk
Steve Hodges, TLCPD
Jamie Hart
Tony Park, Leon County Public Works
Phil Maher, Blueprint 2000
Harry Reed, CRTPA

George Reynolds, Blueprint 2000
Angela Richardson, Blueprint 2000
Debra Schiro, Blueprint 2000
Rita Stevens, COT
Wayne Tedder, TLCPD
Anita Favors Thompson, City Manager
Patrick Twyman, COT
Bill Woolery, COT

OTHERS PRESENT

Austin Alexander
Raymond Ashe, Kimley-Horn
Michelle Avaroma, FSU
James H. Bailey
Nathan Bailey
Steve Bailey
Jamie Bayo, FSU
Bill Berlow, Tallahassee Democrat
James Boyette
Jerry Conger, Citizen's Advisory Committee
Paco de la Fuente
Jordan Dulcie, FSU
Ben Faust, DRMP
Lisa Harbert, Lochner
Jerry Ingram, Kimley-Horn
Larry Hendricks
Josh Humphries
Jeff Hunter
Amanda Gonzalez, FSU Service Scholars

Mark Llewellyn, Genesis Group
Gino Luzeietti, Broad & Cassel
Armando R. Méndez
Ward Miller, Kimley-Horn
Asa Molina
Maribel Nicholson-Choice*
Gary Phillips, The LPA Group*
Keniel Pierre
Margie Quillman, The LPA Group*
Ed Ringe, The LPA Group*
Nicole Roca, FSU
Ashley Ruiz
Joe Schreiber
Jim Shepherd, Jacobs Engineering*
Dave Snyder, The LPA Group*
Charles Stratton, Broad & Cassel
Latesa Turner, Jacobs Engineering*
Ryan Wetherell, Kimley-Horn
Hugh Williams, Lochner

Laura Irastorza
Bryant King, DRMP
Robert A. Lewis, FSU
Juan Lopez, FSU

Greg Wilson, Bike-Walk Network
Justin F. Woody, Apalachee Center/ FAMU SW
Ray Youmans, THC*

* Indicates Blueprint 2000 Consultant

Chairman Andrew Gillum called the meeting to order at 5:15 p.m.

I. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS

Mr. Davis stated that there was one agenda modification for Item #10, Capital Circle Northwest/Southwest: US 90 to Orange Avenue Right-of-Way Acquisition (SR 20 Parcels) and Resolution, which provides additional information. He stated that it included the right-of-way maps for State Road 20.

II. CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC) CHAIRMANS REPORT

Mr. Conger stated that he would like to bring 3 points to the Board's attention from the CAC. The statement that Mr. Conger provided to the Board is attached for review.

Commissioner Thaelle thanked Mr. Conger and the CAC members for their participation in the program and providing over-site of what the policy makers are doing. He stated that the Board was dealing with revenue shortfalls and that he would like to direct staff to reprioritize projects when funding is available.

III. INFORMATION ITEMS

1. Capital Circle NW/SW Erosion Control Risk Reduction Techniques

This item was presented as informational only.

2. Capital Circle SW PD&E Study - Update on Alignments, Evaluations and Upcoming Alternatives Public Meeting

This item was presented as informational only.

3. Capital Circle NW Landscaping and Regional Stormwater Pond 1 Landscaping/Park

This item was presented as informational only.

4. Regional Stormwater Pond 1 Snail Update

This item was presented as informational only.

5. Blueprint Projects: Corridor and Environmental Breakout

This item was presented as informational only.

6. Downgrade of Blueprint 2000 Series 2007 Bond Insurer

This item was presented as informational only.

7. CAC Meeting Minutes (May 15, 2008)

This item was presented as informational only.

8. CAC Status Report on Blueprint 2000

This item was presented as informational only.

Commissioner Gillum asked if anyone would like to pull any of the informational items for discussion. **Commissioner Desloge moved to accept the information items.** Chairman Sauls seconded the motion. **The motion carried unanimously 11-0.**

IV. CONSENT

9. IA Meeting Minutes: June 2, 2008

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve minutes as provided.

10. Capital Circle Northwest/Southwest: US 90 to Orange Avenue Right-of-Way Acquisition (SR 20 Parcels) and Resolution

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1: Approve the Resolution allowing acquisition of seven (7) parcels and two (2) corresponding temporary construction easements, revised as the result of design changes, one (1) additional fee acquisition, two (2) new temporary construction easements and one (1) new drainage easement necessary for the construction of Capital Circle Northwest/Southwest (SR 263) from Orange Avenue to West Tennessee Street.

11. CAC Appointments

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1: Approve the nominations as proposed.

- EECC Member: **Kevin McGorty**

- Chair/Representative from the Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Commission: **Burt Davy**
- Planner - nominated by the Economic and Environmental Consensus Committee (EECC): **Tom O'Steen**
- Representative from the Disabled Community: **no nomination received**

12. Capital Cascade Trail: Segment 2 - Design Supplemental Services Approval

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1:

1. Authorize a new contractual limit of **\$5,461,721.39** for Contract 533 with Genesis Group consistent with this Supplemental Services Request No. 8.
2. Approve the Supplemental Services Request for up to **\$378,400.00** to fund design additions for the Capital Cascade Trail – Segment 2 project.
3. Authorize the IMC to finalize and execute the Supplemental Services Request.

13. Capital Cascade Trail: Segment 2 – Contamination Remediation Authorization

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1: Authorize the advertisement for Contamination Remediation Services or utilize one of the City's or County's Environmental Consulting Services Vendors for the CCT Contamination Remediation project, at a cost not to exceed \$500,000 plus a 10 percent contingency.

1. Initiate the procurement process for selection of a Hazardous Material Firm or utilize one of the City's or County's Environmental Consulting Services Vendors. The TCC will be requested to review the Scope of Services.
2. Authorize a budget of **\$550,000**, which includes a ten percent contingency of \$50,000.
3. Authorize the Intergovernmental Management Committee to negotiate and award a contract with the selected firm and if negotiations are unsuccessful be authorized to move to the next firm in sequence.
4. Waive the MBE/WBE requirements for these services in that no qualified local firm exists.

14. Capital Circle NW/SW: US 90 to Orange Avenue – Design Supplemental

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1:

1. Authorize a new contractual limit for Contract 772 with H.W. Lochner, Inc. for consultant services for the Capital Circle NW/SW Project (US 90 to Orange Avenue) in the amount of \$5,467,000 which includes the previous contract amount of \$5,207,000 (including Supplemental Agreements Numbers 1 through 8 with H.W. Lochner, Inc.)

2. Authorize Supplemental Agreement #9 with H.W. Lochner, Inc. an amount not to exceed \$110,000
3. Authorize the reinstatement of \$50,000 in Supplemental Agreement No. 7 for post-design services; and,
4. Authorize the replenishment of approximately \$100,000 for contingency.
5. The \$260,000 requested in this agenda item will come from the project's construction budget.

15. Transfer of ROW Appropriation from CCT Segment 2 to CCT Segment 3

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1: Approve the transfer of \$1,280,000 from Segment 2 of Capital Cascade Trail to Segment 3.

16. Proposed 2009 Meeting Dates

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1: Approve the dates as presented.

Intergovernmental Agency (Tallahassee City Commission Chambers)

- Monday, February 23, 2009, from 3:00-5:00 pm
- Monday, June 8, 2009, from 3:00-5:00 pm
- Monday, September 21, 2009, from 5:00-8:00 pm (FY 2010 Budget Public Hearing at 6:00 pm)

Commissioner Desloge moved to accept the consent items. Chairman Mustian seconded the motion. **The motion carried unanimously 11-0.**

V. PRESENTATIONS/ACTIONS/DISCUSSIONS

17. Capital Cascade Trail: Segments 3 & 4 Phase I Improvements; Segment 1 Interim Improvements

Mr. Davis stated that the CAC Chair had attended the June 2, 2008 Intergovernmental Agency (IA) meeting and stated the CAC's desire to do all of segment 3 or all of segment 4 instead of parts of each. Mr. Davis stated that since Cascade Park was a stormwater project, the Board's guidance to staff was to look at high payoff stormwater/water quality functions within each segment at the expense of the amenities. He pointed out that there was no money for the projects for these segments until 2012 and there wasn't a lot of detail in the renderings for this reason. Mr. Davis referred the Board to the three concept maps that were provided and discussed items on each map. He stated that staff was not recommending doing any improvements to segment 1. He reiterated that there would not be any money available for these projects until 2012 and that

the Board would review the master plan at least four more times before the funding becomes available. More detailed plans will need to be prepared prior to moving forward.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Option 1:

1. Complete Phase I Master Plan concepts from Segments 3 and 4 as funds become available.
2. Complete Segment 1 Interim Improvements after Phase I Master Plan concepts are completed.

Commissioner DePuy moved the staff recommendation. The motion was seconded.

Mr. Joe Schreiber introduced himself and provided his address. He stated that on several occasions Sean McGlynn recommended the key to remedying the flooding on Franklin Blvd would be the installation to 2 circular pipes from the St. Augustine Branch down Franklin Blvd to Cascade Park. He stated that expanding these two pipes would alleviate the flooding down St. Augustine Branch.

There was no further discussion. **The motion carried unanimously 11-0.**

Commissioner Gillum stated that he would like to recognize Amanda Gonzalez, Florida State University Service Scholars and all those with her to observe the meeting.

Commissioner Thael stated that he would like to discuss Item #4, Regional Stormwater Pond 1 Snail Update. He stated that Blueprint had put \$565,000 of aquatic plants in this stormwater pond many of which had been consumed by snails. McGlynn Laboratories has had great success in removing the channeled apple snails, however there were three steps that needed to continue in order to prevent re-infestation of the snails. Commissioner Thael stated that with the investment and the benefit to the public, the Board should continue the funding of these efforts.

Mr. Davis stated that Blueprint had identified this as a regional and statewide problem. He stated that since this is a statewide or regional issue, he had attempted to enlist the help of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection and the Northwest Florida Water Management District and other larger state entities to come up with a full time big picture solution, however, it is not moving forward. He further stated that there was a working group, however there was not a large agency to take charge and come up with a solution. Mr. Davis stated that the Board had authorized Blueprint 2000 to spend money to clean up the pond and all the money that was allocated has been spent. He stated that the pond will be transferred to the County in the near future because Blueprint 2000 does not retain ownership and there will be a park built around this pond. He stated that if the direction of the Board was for Blueprint to continue the efforts of removing the snails for the next year that his staff would continue to take the lead on that.

Commissioner Thael moved to have Blueprint to enter into negotiations with the vendor to continue the efforts to remove the snails from the pond at a cost not to exceed \$7,000. Commissioner Lightsey asked when the County government would be taking control of the pond. Mr. Tony Park stated that it was on the County's agenda for the first meeting in October

2008. Mr. Davis stated that the operating permit was ready to be issued and the pond will transfer from FDOT to the County because Blueprint was acting as a liaison for the construction of the project. The pond should be transferred to the County in October and shortly thereafter they will begin the construction of the park around the pond. He further stated that he would recommend an upward limit of not more than \$7,000. **Commissioner Sauls seconded the motion.** Mayor Marks asked if this problem was like kudzu. Mr. Davis stated that it was because each time there is a storm it washes additional snails from upstream into the pond. The motion carried unanimously 11-0.

Commissioner Rackleff stated that he would like to know the performance of the Capital Cascade ponds and its impact on the properties downstream and upstream. Mr. Davis stated that the pond performed very well relatively speaking (during T.S. Fay); however the pond's performance should be based storm intensity and duration with the worst case being 4" in two hours and not 8" in 24 hours. He stated that he didn't believe that South Monroe St. flooded at all or very little, the culvert that was installed functioned well and Myers Industrial Park did not flood, however Franklin Blvd. did flood.

VI. PUBLIC HEARINGS – 6:00 PM*

Commissioner Gillum stated that Blueprint 2000 has performed very well and that there has been amazing progress; however there are financial cutbacks because of the economy. He stated that it is his understanding that as new revenue is generated these projects will be put back on the table. Commissioner Gillum stated that the Board would hear public comment before they discussed the Budget items.

Mr. Nathan Bailey introduced himself and provided his address. He stated that in a meeting that he and his father, Jim Bailey, had with Blueprint staff he was told that if lawyers were not involved, there would be more money for the neighbors. He stated that he and his wife decided not to involve an attorney and they relayed the information to the other owners. He further stated that Blueprint and other government agencies give property owners 30% to 40% above appraisal. He further stated that he would take 20% above appraisal. Mr. Bailey stated that he knows that the option had been pulled off the table, however Tanglewood does flood. He stated that for Blueprint to buy property on the north side of the swamp and nothing on the south side of the swamp was a gamble. He further stated Tanglewood was on a buyout list for the County. Mr. Bailey stated that he heard someone at Blueprint say that they did not want to give money to Tanglewood because they didn't want to give money to a bunch of drug addicts. He stated that he resented that statement because drugs are in every neighborhood.

Mr. Stephen Bailey introduced himself and provided his address. He stated that he would like to speak to the Board regarding Tanglewood Estates and that the ditches are improperly built and this was the reason that Leon County supported Tanglewood being one of the properties purchased for a floodplain mitigation by Blueprint. Mr. Bailey showed a map of the area and pictures during a flood event. He stated that water goes into the neighborhood from two directions. He stated that the property should not have been dropped as a possible mitigation site.

Mr. James Bailey introduced himself and provided his address. He stated that the county built a weir to manipulate water levels and that during a rain event he witnessed the water running back uphill into the neighborhood. He further stated that someone at Blueprint mentioned that there was a turbulence problem in that area.

Mayor Marks asked Mr. Davis if Blueprint was supposed to purchase the Tanglewood Estates property.

Mr. Davis stated that the County had provided several properties as possible mitigation locations for Capital Circle Northwest/Southwest. He stated that during the course of due diligence they determined that it did not satisfy their requirements for the mitigation sites and subsequently they looked at other parcels that did satisfy the various requirements.

Mayor Marks clarified that the County had stated that these were properties that were in a floodplain and that it should be acquired because they flood.

Mr. Davis stated that they had recommended this property and several others for review to determine if they met the mitigation requirements for the road project. He stated that in the course of doing due diligence it was determined that Tanglewood did not meet the requirements.

Mayor Marks asked if there was any obligation on the part of the County or Blueprint to purchase the property. Mr. Davis stated that there was no obligation on the part of Blueprint or the County.

Commissioner Katz asked if the County or the City were going to do anything about the flooding situation in the area, knowing that each government has set priorities. He also asked if the fact that a property floods played any part in determining whether the property should be purchased for a project since it would solve an additional problem. Mr. Davis stated that Blueprint follows a very prescribed process and that the Federal Highway Administration and other agencies dictate the criteria for mitigation sites. He stated that the cost for acquiring the Tanglewood properties was going to be very expensive because it would include more than just the cost of the property, it would also include extensive relocation costs for all of the families. He stated that the estimate for the relocation cost would be more than \$1 million. Mr. Ray Youmans stated that had Blueprint purchased Tanglewood which did not meet the mitigation needs, they would still have to purchase the other parcels to meet the mitigation needs.

Commissioner Desloge stated that he knew that the County had its own flood mitigation program and he asked if the County had made an offer to purchase the property prior to Blueprint coming on board. Mr. Tony Park indicated that the County had not. Commissioner Desloge asked if Blueprint had represented in any formal manner that these would be purchased. Mr. Davis stated that they had absolutely not made any formal offers to the property owners. He stated that through due diligence they were up front and didn't sneak around. They went into the neighborhood to do surveys and appraisals of the property and they let the property owners know that they were there to do an assessment to determine if it was a possible site for mitigation. He reiterated that no offers were made.

Commissioner Rackleff stated that about five or six years ago a list was compiled of properties for buyout and there was a source of funding that provided about \$1 million dollars per year. He stated that the County purchased 35 of the 200 properties that were on the list. He stated that because of the property tax cuts there is no longer any funding for the program even though the list is still in existence. He further stated that the list must be prioritized and many things factor into the cost of the property, such as willing sellers and the cost of the property.

Mr. Charles Stratton, Attorney, introduced himself and provided his address. Mr. Stratton stated that Blueprint had entered into agreements with FDOT which required it to follow state and federal regulations when acquiring properties for right of way. He stated that Blueprint was not following the state manuals and that they have stated that they do not have to comply with the manuals. He further stated that FDOT had sent Blueprint letters which told them that they have to comply with state regulations and that they could lose \$50 million of state and federal money. Mr. Stratton stated that Blueprint was trying to get around the regulations and jerking the owners around.

Maribel Nicholson-Choice stated that the issues raised by Mr. Stratton are under litigation and that it would not be appropriate to discuss them. Mr. Stratton stated that these issues were not in litigation, however other matters were in litigation.

Commissioner Katz asked Mr. Stratton what issues other than the way owners are approached and dealt with concerned him and in what areas Blueprint was not complying with the regulations. Mr. Stratton stated that he was an eminent domain attorney and he worked for the FDOT for 7 years acquiring properties and complying with the manual. He stated that he was familiar with it and that this was the area that he was most concerned about. He further stated that he thought that there were other issues regarding areas that he is not exactly versed in. Mayor Marks stated that there was some type of controversy with whether Blueprint was in compliance and the potential of losing funding. He stated that the Board needed to rely on legal counsel to determine if Blueprint was in compliance. Commissioner Gillum asked Mr. Davis to address the memo that he had sent to the commissioners regarding the method that Blueprint uses to acquire property.

Mr. Jeff Hunter introduced himself and provided his address. Mr. Hunter stated that he was at the last IA meeting and that he had been waiting about 3 ½ months for an answer to his question regarding the money for the bike/pedestrian issues. He referred the Board to the minutes of that meeting so that they could refresh their memories. Commissioner Gillum stated that the CAC chairman had addressed this issue earlier in the evening and that over 40% of the funding was going to bike/pedestrian program without regard to what the City and County were doing outside of the process. Mr. Hunter stated that he had not heard the statement because he had arrived after 5:30 pm. Commissioner Gillum stated that he would provide Mr. Hunter with a copy of Mr. Conger's statement. Mr. Hunter stated that the City had funded the advertisements for the Blueprint 2000 program and that the City and County extracted money to be used for the bike/pedestrian program and he wanted to know why the money was not being used for that purpose.

Mr. Larry Hendricks introduced himself. He stated that he found the whole program to be disingenuous because the City is talking about discontinuing school crossing guards and that

some people in community want sidewalks. He read the Sales Tax Extension ballot language to the Board and stated that he felt that Blueprint was using the majority of the program's money to build freeways for St. Joe. He mentioned new schools and the Orange Avenue widening and stated that they were only benefits to St. Joe and SouthWood instead of the community as a whole.

18. Adoption of the FY 2009 Blueprint Operating Budget and Resolution

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Adopt the FY 2009 Operating Budget and related Budget Resolution.

Commissioner Katz moved to adopt the FY 2009 Operating Budget and related Budget Resolution. Commissioner Mustian seconded the motion. Mr. Davis stated that staff had presented the same budget as before with the exception of the request for an additional \$50,000 for a new position for an Attorney I. He introduced George Reynolds who was currently working at Blueprint 2000 as a law clerk and who also had taken the bar and was awaiting the results. **The motion carried unanimously 11-0.**

19. Adoption of FY 2009-FY 2013 Capital Budget and Resolution

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve the Revised Master Plan & FY 2009-2013 Capital Budget as presented and appropriate Fiscal Year 2009 of the Capital Budget and Related Budget Resolution.

Commissioner Katz moved to adopt the FY 2009-FY 2013 Capital Budget and Resolution. Commissioner Rackleff stated that given the flooding that has occurred recently, he was disturbed by the reduction in stormwater programs. He stated that there were four neighborhoods where emergency roads were needed so that residents could leave their neighborhoods. Mr. Davis stated that the Board's guidance was very clear to staff when they stated that Capital Cascade was a stormwater project and to put remaining money towards stormwater issues and not the amenities. He stated that staff had looked at all possibilities and had eliminated or curtailed as much as possible and there were not a whole lot of options. He further stated that as money becomes available and if leveraging is effective then the money will be reallocated and prioritized by the Board on an annual basis.

Commissioner Lightsey stated that timing was everything and the effects of the economy were not predictable, therefore the projects that are left undone are the projects that get cut. She stated that the Board reprioritized the projects as best that could be done, recognizing that Blueprint was not the be all and end all for the needed projects of the community, and that the program should not be expected to fund everything. She stated that the City and County would need to look at their own stormwater budgets to fund the existing community projects.

Commissioner Katz stated that he would like to echo what Commissioner Rackleff said about needing more money because of the stormwater projects. He stated that the Board needed to recognize that the flooding of the previous weeks was extraordinary and that the Board was making the best decisions based on the circumstances.

Commissioner DePuy stated that he would like to recognize the \$90+ million of leveraging money and he stated that Blueprint was meeting what was promised to the voters. He further stated that the road projects included bike lanes, pedestrian walkways and the retrofit ponds which have gone un-noticed. He stated that as the revenues return the priorities will be adjusted.

Commissioner Mustian seconded the motion. **The motion carried unanimously 11-0.**

VII. CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

VIII. ITEMS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

Commissioner Gillum stated that the Board members had received a memorandum from Mr. Davis regarding the incentive program and issues around whether or not tax payers' money was being wasted in the way that they have purchased property. He stated that the memo gave a side-by-side comparison of the traditional right-of-way approach and using the incentive program and the difference was very substantial. Mr. Davis stated that the memo had to do with the incentive offer program which is an approved program authorized by the Federal Highway Administration and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). FDOT did a ten year study and in the course of the study they determined that they were paying 155% of the appraised value of parcels and they were paying an additional 20% in fees and expenses. Based upon that study, they determined that if they offered an incentive above the appraised value of the property that there was a great potential in savings of time and money and increased property owner satisfaction. He stated that the baseline was the appraised value and that based on the numbers that Blueprint 2000 has paid for the parcels which they have purchased, the cost would have been \$16.9 million using the FDOT traditional method, however using the incentive program the cost for the parcels was \$13.2 million. He further stated that the fees and expenses for the parcels would have been \$3.4 million, however using the incentive program, these costs were \$540,000 which is an 85% reduction in costs and fees. He stated that the incentive program is one that is saving the taxpayers money, and the property owners are getting the money and they are being paid more than the appraised value. He stated that this program does follow the FDOT standards and that FDOT had done a survey of 11 parcels for the E-2 project. Mr. Davis stated that he had received a letter stating that the program was in compliance with the FDOT policy. He stated that there were three shortcomings, (1.) Using the wrong form (2.) Did not have the right form in the file (3.) Did not round up the incentive offer to the nearest \$10. Mr. Davis stated that Blueprint had purchased around 45 parcels at a cost of about \$26 million. He stated that early in the program during the E-1 project that a mistake was made in the calculation of the incentive on a couple of properties. He stated that in these cases the property owner received more than the appraised value and they accepted the offer under the advice of their legal counsel.

Commissioner Gillum asked if the Board would object to the City Auditor (if there was room in his schedule) to conduct a third party audit the program. Mr. Davis stated that the City does a general financial audit of the operating budget. He stated that there are safe-guards in place on the right-of-way policy, such as appraiser and a review appraisal by FDOT.

Commissioner Lightsey stated that she has no objection to the suggestion by Commissioner Gillum, however she would suggest that only a sample of properties would be done of the 44 parcels, that would be chosen by the auditor. She stated that she did not want the auditor to reprioritize his current audit schedule.

Mayor Marks stated that he did not want a motion to have this done.

Mr. Davis stated that right-of-way acquisition is a very complicated career field and Mr. McCall will be starting at ground zero to do an audit, therefore he will have to be educated on the process. He suggested that they approach the FDOT Right-of-Way Manager at the central office to handle the audit. Commissioner Gillum stated that he would like to approach both the City Auditor and the FDOT Right-of-Way Manager.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chairman Gillum adjourned the meeting at 7:00 pm.

APPROVED:

ATTEST:

Andrew Gillum
Chairman of Blueprint 2000 IA

Shelonda Meeks
Secretary to Blueprint 2000 IA

The CAC Chairman's report is attached as a part of the record.